
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 2, 1977

Pages 401–407

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

ON PERFECT SUBPARACOMPACTNESS AND A METRIZATION THEOREM FOR MOORE SPACES

by

J. CHABER AND P. ZENOR

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

ON PERFECT SUBPARACOMPACTNESS AND A METRIZATION THEOREM FOR MOORE SPACES

J. Chaber and P. Zenor

In this paper, we give a characterization of perfectly subparacompact spaces and we use this characterization to prove that perfectly normal subparacompact spaces which are locally connected and rim-compact are paracompact. In particular then, every perfectly normal, locally connected, and rim compact Moore space is metrizable. This generalizes the main theorem of [R,Z] and answers a question in [A,Z].

Recall that a space X is said to be subparacompact if for any open cover \mathcal{U} of X there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{V}(j)\}_{j \geq 1}$ of open covers of X such that if $x \in X$, then there is a $j \geq 1$ so that $\text{St}(x, \mathcal{V}(j)) \subseteq U$ for some $U \in \mathcal{U}$ (see [Bu]); X is perfectly subparacompact if X is subparacompact and closed subsets are G_δ -sets in X . Also, X is rim-compact if for each point x of X and each open set V containing x , there is an open set W with a compact boundary so that $x \in W \subset V$.

We prove

Theorem 1. Every perfectly normal, locally connected, rim-compact, and subparacompact space is paracompact.

This yields

Corollary. Every perfectly normal, locally connected and rim-compact Moore space is metrizable.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following

Theorem 2. The following conditions are equivalent for a topological space X:

- 1) *X is perfectly subparacompact,*
- 2) *for each well-ordered open cover \mathcal{U} of X there exists a sequence $\{V(j)\}_{j \geq 1}$ of open covers of X such that if $x \in X$, then there is a $j \geq 1$ such that $\text{St}(x, V(j))$ is contained in the first element of \mathcal{U} that contains x ,*
- 3) *for each well-ordered open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ of X there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{C}(j)\}_{j \geq 1}$ of closed collections such that $\mathcal{C}(j) = \{E_\alpha(j)\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ is increasing and $\bigcup_{j \geq 1} E_\alpha(j) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta$ for $\alpha < \gamma$,*
- 4) *for each well-ordered open cover $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ of X there exists a closed cover $\mathcal{J} = \bigcup \mathcal{J}(j)$ such that $\mathcal{J}(j) = \{F_\alpha(j)\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ is discrete and $F_\alpha(j) \subseteq U_\alpha \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta$ for $\alpha < \gamma$.*

Theorem 2 was independently proved by H. Junnila.

In the first section, we shall prove Theorem 1 using the implication 1) \rightarrow 4) of Theorem 2. The second section contains a proof of Theorem 2. We end by giving characterizations of perfectly paracompact spaces analogous to those given in Theorem 2.

1. Proof of Theorem 1

Let X be a space satisfying the hypothesis of Theorem 1 and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X. We shall prove that \mathcal{U} has a σ -discrete open refinement.

Since X is rim-compact, we may assume that all elements of \mathcal{U} have compact boundaries. Furthermore, we may assume

that $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ is well-ordered.

From the condition 4) of Theorem 2 it follows that there exists a closed refinement $\mathcal{J} = \cup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{J}(j)$ of \mathcal{U} such that $\mathcal{J}(j) = \{F_\alpha(j)\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ is discrete and $F_\alpha(j) \subseteq U_\alpha \setminus \cup_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta$.

Since \mathcal{J} refines \mathcal{U} , it is sufficient to prove that each $\mathcal{J}(j)$ can be expanded to a σ -discrete open family. This follows from the second of the next two lemmas.

Lemma 1. If a closed subset F of a regular rim-compact space X is contained in an open set U, then there is an open set V with a compact boundary such that $F \subseteq V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq U$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{W} be a finite open cover of the boundary of \mathcal{U} consisting of sets with compact boundaries and such that $F \cap \overline{\cup \mathcal{W}} = \emptyset$. The set $V = U \setminus \overline{\cup \mathcal{W}}$ has the desired properties.

Lemma 2. Let X be a perfectly normal locally connected and rim-compact space. If $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ is an open cover of X, $\mathcal{F} = \{F_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ is a discrete collection of closed subsets of X and, for $\alpha < \gamma$

- (i) $F_\alpha \subseteq U_\alpha$ and the boundary of U_α is compact,
- (ii) $U_\alpha \cap (\cup_{\beta > \alpha} F_\beta) = \emptyset$,

then there exists an open collection $\mathcal{W} = \{W_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ such that $F_\alpha \subseteq W_\alpha$ and \mathcal{W} is a countable union of discrete collections.

Proof. Since X is perfectly normal, we may choose a sequence $\{G_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ of open sets such that

- (iii) $\cup \mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n$ and $\bar{G}_{n+1} \subseteq G_n$ for $n \geq 1$.

Let $W_{\alpha,n}$ be the union of all components of G_n intersecting F_α . Since X is locally connected, each $W_{\alpha,n}$ is open. Moreover, from the definition of $W_{\alpha,n}$ it follows that for $\alpha_1 < \alpha < \gamma$

(iv) $W_{\alpha_1, n} \cap W_{\alpha, n} = \emptyset$ implies $W_{\alpha_1, n} \cap F_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

We shall show that for each $\alpha < \gamma$ there exists an integer n such that

(v) $W_{\alpha, n} \cap [\cup_{\beta > \alpha} F_\beta] = \emptyset$.

Assume that this is not the case and take the smallest α such that $W_{\alpha, n} \cap [\cup_{\beta > \alpha} F_\beta] \neq \emptyset$ for all n .

By (i) and Lemma 1 there exists an open set V with a compact boundary such that $F_\alpha \subseteq V \subseteq \bar{V} \subseteq U_\alpha$. From (ii) it follows that the boundary C of V separates F_α from $\cup_{\beta > \alpha} F_\beta$. Since each $W_{\alpha, n}$ intersects $\cup_{\beta > \alpha} F_\beta$ and is the union of a collection of connected sets intersecting F_α , the sets $W_{\alpha, n} \cap C$ are non-void. From the compactness of C and the fact that $G_{n+1} \subseteq G_n$, we have $A = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bar{W}_{\alpha, n} \cap C \neq \emptyset$. By (iii) and $W_{\alpha, n} \subseteq G_n$, $A \subseteq [\cup \mathcal{J}] \cap C$ but $C \cap [\cup_{\beta \geq \alpha} F_\beta] = \emptyset$. Hence there exists an $\alpha_1 < \alpha$ and an $x \in F_{\alpha_1} \cap \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \bar{W}_{\alpha, n}$.

Each $W_{\alpha, n}$ is closed in G_n and $x \in G_n$, therefore $x \in \bar{W}_{\alpha, n}$ implies $x \in W_{\alpha, n}$ and (iv) shows that α_1 does not satisfy (v) for any $n \geq 1$. This contradicts our choice of α .

For each $\alpha < \gamma$, let $n(\alpha)$ be the first integer satisfying (v).

From (iv), the family $\mathcal{W}'_n = \{W_{\alpha, n} : n(\alpha) = n\}$ is pairwise disjoint. Since each $W_{\alpha, n}$ is a sum of components of G_n , \mathcal{W}'_n is also discrete in G_n and, consequently, $\mathcal{W}_n = \{G_{n+1} \cap W : W \in \mathcal{W}'_n\}$ is discrete in X . Thus $\mathcal{W} = \cup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{W}_n$ is a σ -discrete open expansion of \mathcal{J} .

2. Proof of Theorem 2

It is easy to observe that the conditions 2), 3), and 4) are equivalent and imply 1). We shall prove 1) \Rightarrow 2). In

the proof of Theorem 1 we use 1) \rightarrow 4). The proof of 2) \Rightarrow 4) is a well known reasoning from [Bi]. H. Junnila gave a direct proof of 1) \Rightarrow 4).

Proof of 1) \Rightarrow 2). Let X be a perfectly subparacompact space and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ be a well-ordered open cover of X . Since X is perfect, we can find, for each $\alpha < \gamma$, a sequence $\{E_\alpha(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of closed sets such that

$$(i) \quad \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} E_\alpha(j) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta$$

(Note, that in view of 3), we have to modify sets $E_\alpha(j)$ so that, for each $\alpha < \gamma$, $\{E_\alpha(j)\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ forma an increasing collection.)

For each $m \geq 0$ let N^m denote the collection of all sequences of natural numbers of length m . If $t \in N^m$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(t, i) \in N^{m+1}$ denotes an extension of t by i . Put $T_u = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} N^{2n}$ and $T_v = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} N^{2n+1}$.

We will define, by induction on m , collections $\{\mathcal{U}(t)\}_{t \in T_u}$ and $\{\mathcal{V}(t)\}_{t \in T_v}$ of open covers of X such that $\mathcal{U}(\emptyset) = \mathcal{U}(\emptyset \in T_u)$ is the only element of N^0) and

(ii) if $t \in T_u$, then $\{\mathcal{V}(t, k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of open covers of X such that if $x \in X$, then there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $St(x, \mathcal{V}(t, k))$ is a subset of some element of $\mathcal{U}(t)$,

(iii) if $t \in T_v$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathcal{U}(t, j) = \{U_\alpha(t, j)\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$

where

$$U_\alpha(t, j) = U_\alpha \setminus (E_\alpha(j) \cup \bar{A}_\alpha(t)) \text{ and}$$

$$A_\alpha(t) = \{x \in X: St(x, \mathcal{V}(t)) \subseteq \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta\}.$$

If $t \in T_v$ and $\mathcal{V}(t)$ is an open cover of X , then the closure of the set $A_\alpha(t)$ defined in (iii) is contained in $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta$, for all $\alpha < \gamma$. This, together with (i), shows that

$\mathcal{U}(t, j)$ defined in (iii) covers X for all $j \in N$.

If $t \in T_u$, then the collection $\{V(t, k)\}_{k \in N}$ satisfying (ii) can be found by subparacompactness of X .

Therefore, starting with $\mathcal{U}(\emptyset) = \mathcal{U}$, we can define the collections $\{\mathcal{U}(t)\}_{t \in T_u}$ and $\{V(t)\}_{t \in T_v}$ of open covers of X satisfying (ii) and (iii).

From the fact that T_v is countable, it follows that it is sufficient to prove that if $x \in X$, then there exists a $t \in T_v$ such that $St(x, V(t))$ is a subset of the first element of \mathcal{U} that contains x .

Suppose that a point $x \in X$ does not have the above property and let $\alpha_x < \gamma$ and $t \in T_v$ be such that $St(x, V(t)) \subseteq U_{\alpha_x}$ and $St(x, V(t)) \subseteq U_{\alpha}$ implies $\alpha \geq \alpha_x$.

By our assumption $x \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha_x} U_{\beta}$ and, by (i), there is a $j \in N$ such that $x \in E_{\alpha_x}(j)$.

Consider $\mathcal{U}(t, j)$. From the definition of the sets $U_{\alpha}(t, j)$, it follows that $x \notin U_{\alpha_x}(t, j)$ (by $x \in E_{\alpha_x}(j)$) and $x \notin U_{\alpha}(t, j)$ for $\alpha > \alpha_x$ (by $St(x, V(t)) \subseteq U_{\alpha_x}$). Therefore $x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha > \alpha_x} U_{\alpha}(t, j)$.

Since $(t, j) \in T_u$, by (ii), there exists a k and a $\beta < \gamma$ such that $St(x, V(t, j, k)) \subseteq U_{\beta}(t, j)$. From $x \notin \bigcup_{\alpha > \alpha_x} U_{\alpha}(t, j)$, we have $\beta < \alpha_x$, but $U_{\beta}(t, j)$ is a subset of U_{β} and this contradicts our choice of α_x .

3. Theorem 3

The following conditions are equivalent for a T_1 space X :

- 1) X is perfectly paracompact,
- 2) for each well-ordered open cover \mathcal{U} of X there exists

a sequence $\{V(j)\}_{j \geq 1}$ of open covers of X such that if $x \in X$, then there exist a neighborhood O_x of x and a $j \geq 1$ such that $\text{St}(O_x, V(j))$ is contained in the first element of U that contains x (see [A]).

- 3) for each well-ordered open cover $U = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ of X there exists a sequence $\{\mathcal{C}(j)\}_{j \geq 1}$ of closed collections such that $\mathcal{C}(j) = \{E_\alpha(j)\}_{\alpha < \gamma}$ is increasing and $\bigcup_{j \geq 1} \text{Int } E_\alpha(j) = \bigcup_{j \geq 1} E_\alpha(j) = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} U_\beta$ for $\alpha < \gamma$.

Theorem 3 can be proved in the same way as Theorem 2. The implication 1) \Rightarrow 2)(3)) can be also obtained as a corollary to the implication 1) \Rightarrow 4) from Theorem 2 with the use of collectionwise normality of X .

References

[AZ] K. Alster and P. L. Zenor, *On the collectionwise normality of generalized manifolds*, Top. Proc. 1 (1976), 125-127.

[A] A. Arhangel'skii, *New criteria for paracompactness and metrizability of an arbitrary T_1 -space*, Soviet Math. Dokl. 2 (1961), 1367-1369.

[Bi] R. H. Bing, *Metrization of topological spaces*, Canad. Journ. of Math. 3 (1951), 175-186.

[Bu] D. K. Burke, *On subparacompact spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 23 (1969), 655-663.

[RZ] G. M. Reed and P. L. Zenor, *Metrization of Moore spaces and generalized manifolds*, Fund. Math. XC1 (1976), 203-210.

Auburn University
 Auburn, AL 36830