

---

# TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 4, 1979

Pages 13–17

---

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

## CECH-STONE REMAINDERS OF LOCALLY COMPACT NONPSEUDOCOMPACT SPACES

by

ROBERT L. BLAIR

---

### Topology Proceedings

**Web:** <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

**Mail:** Topology Proceedings  
Department of Mathematics & Statistics  
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

**E-mail:** [topolog@auburn.edu](mailto:topolog@auburn.edu)

**ISSN:** 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

## CECH-STONE REMAINDERS OF LOCALLY COMPACT NONPSEUDOCOMPACT SPACES

Robert L. Blair

All spaces considered are Tychonoff,  $X^*$  denotes the Čech-Stone remainder  $\beta X - X$ , and  $N$ ,  $Q$ , and  $R$  denote the spaces of natural numbers, rationals, and reals, respectively. A subset  $S$  of a space  $X$  is *z-embedded* in  $X$  if every zero-set of  $S$  is the restriction to  $S$  of some zero-set of  $X$ , and  $X$  is an *Oz-space* if every open subset of  $X$  is *z-embedded* in  $X$ . (For example, extremally disconnected spaces and perfectly normal spaces are Oz. For studies involving Oz-spaces, see [B], [ $vD_4$ ], [ $La_1$ ], [ $La_2$ ], [ $T_1$ ], and [ $T_2$ ].)

In [B, 5.13] we proved the following (which generalizes the well-known fact that  $N^*$  is not extremally disconnected [GJ, 6R.1]):

*Theorem 1. If  $|X|$  is not Ulam-measurable and if  $X$  is locally compact and realcompact but not compact, then  $X^*$  is not Oz.*

The proof in [B] of Theorem 1 relies on a result [B, 5.11] for which Ulam-nonmeasurability is essential. Nevertheless, we shall show in this note that Theorem 1 can be improved as follows (cf. [B, 5.14(c)]):

*Theorem 2. If  $X$  is locally compact and nonpseudocompact, then  $X^*$  is not Oz.*

For the proof we require the two lemmas below. As noted

in [vD<sub>3</sub>, 20.3(1)], Lemma 2 is essentially due to Fine and Gillman (see the proof of [FG, 3.1]).

A space  $X$  is a  $P$ -space [GJ, 4J] (resp. an *almost-P-space* [Le]) if every zero-set of  $X$  is open (resp. regular closed) in  $X$ . It is easily seen that  $X$  is an almost- $P$ -space if and only if every nonempty zero-set of  $X$  has nonempty interior [Le, 1.1].

*Lemma 1. (a) Every regular closed subset of an Oz-space is Oz.*

*(b)  $X$  is Oz if and only if the boundary of each regular closed subset of  $X$  is a zero-set in  $X$ .*

*(c) An almost- $P$ -space is Oz if and only if it is extremally disconnected (in which case it is a  $P$ -space).*

*(d) Every neighborhood retract of an Oz-space is Oz.*

*Proof.* (a) is proved in [B, 5.3(a)], (b) follows readily from the fact that  $X$  is Oz if and only if every regular closed subset of  $X$  is a zero-set of  $X$  [B, 5.1], (c) follows from (b), and (d) follows from [B, 5.3(a)].

*Lemma 2 (Fine and Gillman). If  $X$  is locally compact and if  $Z$  is a nonempty zero-set of  $\beta X$  with  $Z \subset X^*$ , then  $\text{int}_{X^*} Z \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Suppose, on the contrary, that  $X^*$  is Oz. Since  $X$  is nonpseudocompact, there is a (necessarily infinite [GJ, 9.5]) nonempty zero-set  $Z$  of  $\beta X$  with  $Z \subset X^*$ . Since  $Z$  is  $C^*$ -embedded in  $\beta X$ , each zero-set of  $Z$  is a zero-set of  $\beta X$  and is therefore, by Lemma 2, regular closed in  $X^*$  (and hence also in  $Z$ ). In particular,  $Z$  is regular closed in

$X^*$ , so  $Z$  is Oz by Lemma 1(a); and  $Z$  is an almost-P-space, so  $Z$  is a (compact) P-space by Lemma 1(c). But then  $Z$  is finite, a contradiction.

As an application of Theorem 2 we provide another proof of the following result essentially due to Comfort [C, 3.3]:

*Corollary.* *If  $X^*$  is an absolute neighborhood retract for compact spaces, then  $X$  is locally compact and pseudo-compact.*

*Proof.*  $X$  is locally compact since  $X^*$  is compact. Moreover,  $X^*$  can be embedded as a neighborhood retract in a product  $Y$  of unit intervals, and by a result essentially due to Noble ([N], [B, 5.6])  $Y$  is Oz. Hence  $X$  is pseudocompact by Lemma 1(d) and Theorem 2.

*Remarks.* (a) In an earlier version of this paper we based the proof of Theorem 2 on Theorem 1: If  $X$  is locally compact and nonpseudocompact, then  $N^*$  can be embedded as a neighborhood retract in  $X^*$  [vD<sub>1</sub>, Lemma 1.1(c)], so  $X^*$  is not Oz by Lemma 1(d) and Theorem 1. The more direct proof given above was suggested by Eric van Douwen.

(b) By Theorem 2,  $N^*$  and  $R^*$  are not Oz. In [vD<sub>4</sub>], van Douwen shows that  $Q^*$  is not Oz, and in [T<sub>2</sub>] Terada shows that  $\beta R$  and  $\beta Q$  are not Oz.

(c) There exist locally compact pseudocompact spaces  $X$  for which  $X^*$  is Oz, and also for which  $X^*$  is not Oz (see [GJ, 9.K6]).

(d) In [C, 3.3], Comfort assumes that  $X^*$  is an absolute retract for compact spaces, but his proof obviously yields

the corollary above as stated. In  $[vD_2]$ , van Douwen proves that if  $X^*$  is a retract of  $\beta X$ , then  $X$  is pseudocompact.

(This was originally proved by Comfort under CH [C, 2.6].)

The following question is therefore suggested: Is  $X$  pseudocompact if  $X^*$  is a neighborhood retract of  $\beta X$ ? Van Douwen has noted (oral communication) that as a consequence of  $[vD_2]$  the answer to this question is affirmative if  $X$  is locally compact. As an additional contribution, we remark that the answer is also affirmative if  $\beta X$  is  $Oz$ ; the proof is omitted.

Added in proof, January 8, 1980: In a personal communication, van Douwen has shown that a slight modification of the proof of  $[vD_2, 0.1]$  answers the question above in the affirmative (with no restriction).

### References

- [B] R. L. Blair, *Spaces in which special sets are  $z$ -embedded*, *Canad. J. Math.* 28 (1976), 673-690.
- [C] W. W. Comfort, *Retractions and other continuous maps from  $\beta X$  onto  $\beta X \setminus X$* , *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 114 (1965), 1-9.
- $[vD_1]$  E. K. van Douwen, *Transfer of information about  $\beta N - N$  via open remainder maps*, *Illinois J. Math.* (to appear).
- $[vD_2]$  \_\_\_\_\_, *Retractions from  $\beta X$  onto  $\beta X - X$* , *Gen. Top. Appl.* 9 (1978), 169-173.
- $[vD_3]$  \_\_\_\_\_, *Remote points*, *Dissertationes Math.* (to appear).
- $[vD_4]$  \_\_\_\_\_, *The Čech-Stone remainder of some nowhere locally compact spaces* (manuscript).
- [FG] N. J. Fine and L. Gillman, *Extension of continuous functions in  $\beta N$* , *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 66 (1960), 376-381.

- [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.
- [La<sub>1</sub>] E. P. Lane, *Insertion of a continuous function*, Topology Proceedings 4 (1979).
- [La<sub>2</sub>] \_\_\_\_\_, *PM-normality and the insertion of a continuous function*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
- [Le] R. Levy, *Almost-P-spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 29 (1977), 284-288.
- [N] N. Noble, *C-embedded subsets of products*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1972), 613-614.
- [T<sub>1</sub>] T. Terada, *Note on z-, C\*--, and C-embedding*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A 13 (1975), 129-132.
- [T<sub>2</sub>] \_\_\_\_\_, *On spaces whose Stone-Čech compactification is Oz* (preprint).

Ohio University

Athens, Ohio 45701