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1. Introduction

We use results of Čerin to examine shape properties of refinable and approximately right invertible maps. Relations between certain function spaces and hyperspaces are also examined.

2. Preliminaries

A compactum $X$ is called an approximate absolute neighborhood retract in the sense of Noguchi (AANR$_N$) if whenever $X$ is embedded in an ANR $M$, there is a neighborhood $U$ of $X$ in $M$ such that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $\varepsilon$-retraction of $U$ to $X$, i.e., a continuous function $f: U \to X$ such that $f|X$ is an $\varepsilon$-push (moves no point by more than $\varepsilon$). If it may always be assumed that $f|X$ is a surjection, then $X$ is called a surjective approximate absolute neighborhood retract in the sense of Noguchi (SAANR$_N$).

If in the above, $U$ may be taken to be $M$, we say $X$ is an approximate absolute retract (AAR) or a surjective approximate absolute retract (SAAR), respectively.

If for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $X$ in $M$ such that there is an $\varepsilon$-retraction $g: U \to X$, then $X$ is called an approximate absolute neighborhood retract in the sense of Clapp (AANR$_C$). If it may always be assumed that $g|X$ is a surjection, then $X$ is called a surjective approximate absolute neighborhood retract in the sense of Clapp (SAANR$_C$).
The definitions above are from [N], [Cl], and [Pl].

A continuous surjection \( r: X \to Y \) is called refinable [F-R] if for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there is an \( \varepsilon \)-map \( f: X \to Y \) (i.e., \( \text{diam } f^{-1}(y) < \varepsilon \) for all \( y \in Y \)) that is \( \varepsilon \)-close to \( r \).

A map \( f: X \to Y \) between compacta is approximately right invertible (ARI) [G] if for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there is a map \( g_\varepsilon: Y \to X \) such that \( fg_\varepsilon \) is \( \varepsilon \)-close to \( 1_Y \). If also there exists \( G_\varepsilon: X \to Y \) such that \( g_\varepsilon G_\varepsilon \) is \( \varepsilon \)-close to \( 1_X \), then \( f \) is approximately invertible (AI) [Ce3].

A compactum \( X \) is calm [Cel] if whenever \( X \subset M \in \text{ANR} \), there is a neighborhood \( V \) of \( X \) in \( M \) such that for every neighborhood \( U \) of \( X \) in \( M \) there is a neighborhood \( W \) of \( X \) in \( M \), \( W \subset U \), such that if \( f, g: Y \to U \) with \( f \approx g \) in \( V \), then \( f \approx g \) in \( U \) for all \( Y \in \text{ANR} \). We have:

(2.1) **Theorem [Ce-S]**. A compactum \( X \) is an \( \text{FANR} \) if and only if \( X \) is calm and movable.

Let \( 2^Y \) be the set of all nonempty compact subsets of a metric space \( Y \). The metric of continuity \( d_c \) is defined in [Bkl] as follows: \( d_c(A, B) = \varepsilon \) if \( \varepsilon \) is the infimum of those nonnegative \( t \) such that there are \( t \)-pushes \( f: A \to B \) and \( g: B \to A \).

If \( A, B \in 2^Y \) and there are continuous surjections \( f: A \to B \) and \( g: B \to A \), then the metric of continuous surjection \( d_C \) is defined (see [Ce2]) by: \( d_C(A, B) = \varepsilon \) if \( \varepsilon \) is the infimum of those nonnegative \( t \) such that there are surjective \( t \)-pushes \( f: A \to B \) and \( g: B \to A \).

The Hilbert cube is denoted by \( Q \).
3. Shape Properties of Certain Maps

Let $X$ and $Y$ be compacta in AR-spaces $M$ and $N$, respectively. Let us recall the definitions of quasi-domination and quasi-equivalence [Bk2]: $X$ quasi-dominates $Y$ ($X \geq_q Y$) if for every neighborhood $U$ of $Y$ in $N$ there exists a neighborhood $V$ of $Y$ in $N$, $V \subseteq U$, and fundamental sequences $f = \{f_k, X, Y\}_{M,N}, g = \{g_k, Y, X\}_{N,M}$ such that for almost all $k$, $f_kg_k|V = i_{V,U}$ in $U$, where $i_{V,U}$ is the inclusion of $V$ into $U$.

If for every neighborhood $(W, U)$ of $(X, Y)$ in $(M, N)$ there exist neighborhoods $(W_1, V)$ of $(X, Y)$ in $(M, N)$, $W_1 \subseteq W$, $V \subseteq U$, and fundamental sequences $f$, $g$ as above with $f_kg_k|V = i_{V,U}$ in $U$ and $g_kf_k|W_1 = i_{W_1,W}$ in $W$ for almost all $k$, then $X$ and $Y$ are quasi-equivalent ($X \approx_q Y$). These notions are in general weaker than shape domination and shape equivalence, respectively, but they coincide when $Y$ is calm (when $X$ and $Y$ are calm, respectively) [Bk2].

(3.1) Theorem. Let $X$ and $Y$ be compacta and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be ARI. Then $X \geq_q Y$. If $f$ is AI, then $X \approx_q Y$.

Proof. There is no loss of generality in assuming $M = N = Q$ [Bk2]. Let $U$ be a neighborhood of $Y$ in $Q$. There is a compact ANR neighborhood $V'$ of $Y$ in $Q$, $V' \subseteq U$, and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon$-close maps into $V'$ are homotopic. Since $f$ is ARI, there exist $g_{\varepsilon}: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $fg_{\varepsilon}$ is an $\varepsilon$-push. Let $f$, $g$ be fundamental sequences generated by $f$ and $g_{\varepsilon}$, respectively. There is a neighborhood $V$ of $Y$ in $Q$, $V \subseteq V'$, such that $f_kg_k|V$ is an $\varepsilon$-push and $f_kg_k(V) \subseteq V'$ for almost all $k$. By choice of $\varepsilon$, $f_kg_k|V \approx i_{V,U}$ in $V'$.
hence in U, for almost all k. Thus $X \geq Y$.

If $f$ is AI, then for a neighborhood $(W, U)$ of $(X, Y)$ in $(Q, Q)$ there exist compact ANR neighborhoods $W', V'$ of $X$ and $Y$ in $Q$, respectively, $W' \subset W$, $V' \subset U$, and an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon$-close maps into either of $W'$ or $V'$ are homotopic. Since $f$ is AI, there exist $g_\varepsilon : Y \to X$ and $f_\varepsilon : X \to Y$ such that $fg_\varepsilon$ is $(\varepsilon/2)$-close to $l_Y$, $g_\varepsilon f_\varepsilon$ is $\delta$-close to $l_X$, where $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ and $d(x_1, x_2) < \delta$ implies $d(f(x_1), f(x_2)) < \varepsilon/2$.

Therefore, for $x \in X$,

$$d(f(x), f_\varepsilon(x)) \leq d(f(x), fg_\varepsilon f_\varepsilon(x)) + d(g_\varepsilon f_\varepsilon(x), f_\varepsilon(x)) < (\text{by choice of } \delta) \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$  

Thus $f$ and $f_\varepsilon$ are $\varepsilon$-close.

If $f$, $g$, and $F$ are fundamental sequences generated by $f$, $g_\varepsilon$, and $f_\varepsilon$, respectively, it follows from our choice of $\varepsilon$ that there is a neighborhood $(W_1, V)$ of $(X, Y)$ in $(Q, Q)$, $W_1 \subset W'$, $V \subset V'$, such that $F_k g_k | W_1 \simeq f_k g_k | W_1 \simeq i_{W_1, W}$ in $W'$, hence in $W$, and (by our choice of $\delta$) such that $g_k F_k | V \simeq i_{V, U}$ in $V'$, hence in $U$.

It follows that $X \simeq Y$.

(3.2) Corollary. Let $X$ and $Y$ be compacta, $f : X \to Y$ an ARI map. Then

a) if $Y$ is calm, $\text{Sh} X \geq \text{Sh} Y$.

b) if $f$ is AI and $X$ is calm, $\text{Sh} X \leq \text{Sh} Y$.

c) if $f$ is AI and $X$ and $Y$ are both calm, $\text{Sh} X = \text{Sh} Y$.

Proof. This follows immediately from (3.1) and [Bx2, (3.3)].

The following is suggested by [F-R, 3.4] and gives a partial answer to [F-R, Question 5]:

(3.3) Corollary. Let \( X \in \text{SAANR}_C \) and let \( r: X \to Y \) be a refinable map.

a) If \( X \in \text{SAANR}_N \), \( \text{Sh} Y \geq \text{Sh} X \).

b) If \( Y \in \text{SAANR}_N \), \( \text{Sh} X \geq \text{Sh} Y \).

c) If \( X, Y \in \text{SAANR}_N \), \( \text{Sh} X = \text{Sh} Y \).

Proof. We have \( X \in \text{SAANR}_N \) if and only if \( X \in \text{SAANR}_C \) and \( X \in \text{FANR} \) [Ce2], \( X \in \text{AANR}_C \) implies \( X \) is movable [Bg, Theorem 6], and (2.1) imply: \( X \in \text{SAANR}_N \) if and only if \( X \in \text{SAANR}_C \) and \( X \) is calm.

It follows from [Ce3, opening remarks in §5] that \( f \) is AI. The assertions follow from (3.2).

We remark that it follows that \( Y \) in (3.3) is an \( \text{SAANR}_C \), by [P2, Theorem 2].

For the collection of ARI maps that are strongly approximately right invertible (SARI) and for the collection of AI maps that are strongly approximately invertible (SAI) (see [Ce3] for definitions) we have:

(3.4) Corollary. Let \( f: X \to Y \) be a map between compacta.

a) If \( X \in \text{AANR}_N \) and \( f \) is SARI, \( \text{Sh} X \geq \text{Sh} Y \).

b) If one of \( X \) or \( Y \) is an \( \text{AANR}_N \) and \( f \) is SAI, \( \text{Sh} X = \text{Sh} Y \).

Proof. We use the fact that \( X \in \text{AANR}_N \) implies \( X \in \text{FANR} \) [Gm], and therefore by (2.1) \( X \) is calm.

a) We have \( Y \in \text{AANR}_N \) [Ce3, (5.2a)], hence \( Y \) is calm. The assertion follows from (3.2a).

b) We have both \( X, Y \in \text{AANR}_N \) [Ce3, (5.2b)]. The assertion follows from (3.2c).
4. Some Function Spaces and Hyperspaces

In this section we assume $X$ is a compactum, $Y$ a metric space, and $Y^X$ is the space of maps from $X$ to $Y$ with the compact-open (=sup-metric) topology. Suppose $\{f_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty} \subseteq Y^X$ with $A_i = f_i(X)$ for all $i$. What does $\lim_{i \to \infty} f_i = f_0$ imply about $\{A_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ with respect to hyperspaces? It is clear that $\lim_{i \to \infty} A_i = A_0$ in the topology of the Hausdorff metric. Borsuk's example [Bl] of arcs converging to $S^1$ in the Hausdorff metric but not $d_c$ may be used to construct a convergent sequence $f_i \to f_0$ in $(S^1)^I$ such that $A_0 \neq \lim_{i \to \infty} A_i$ in $d_c$. The approach of [Bxl], that by restricting $X$ or by considering appropriate subspaces of $Y^X$ we may obtain interesting results, is used here.

Let us define $R(X,Y)$ and $ARI(X,Y)$ to be the subspaces of $Y^X$ consisting of those maps $f$ such that $f: X \to f(X)$ is refinable or $ARI$, respectively. Let $d^S$ be the sup-metric for $Y^X$.

(4.1) Theorem. Let $X, Y, \{f_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}, \{A_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ be as above. Suppose $X \in \text{SAANRC}$ and $f_i \in R(X,Y)$ for $i > 0$. If $f_0 \in R(X,Y)$ then $\lim_{i \to \infty} d_c(A_i, A_0) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose $f_0 \in R(X,Y)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $X \in \text{SAANRC}$, there are [P2, Theorem 1] continuous surjections $g_i: A_i \to X$ such that $f_i g_i: A_i \to A_i$ is an $(\varepsilon/2)$-push for all $i$.

Fix $i$ such that $d^S(f_i, f_0) < \varepsilon/2$.

Consider the continuous surjections $F_i = f_0 g_i: A_i \to A_0$, $G_i = f_i g_0: A_0 \to A_i$. We have $d^S(F_i, 1_{A_i} A_0) < d^S(f_0 g_i, f_i g_i) + d^S(f_i g_i, 1_{A_i}) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$, and $d^S(G_i, 1_{A_0}) < \varepsilon/2$. 


$$d^S(f_i g_i, f_0 g_0) + d^S(f_0 g_0, l_{A_0}) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$ 

It follows that $\lim_{i \to \infty} d_c(A_i, A_0) = 0$.

(4.2) **Theorem.** Suppose $\lim_{i \to \infty} f_i = f_0$ in $Y^X$ with $f_i \in \text{ARI}(X, Y)$ for $i > 0$. Then $f_0 \in \text{ARI}(X, Y)$ if and only if $\lim_{i \to \infty} d_c(A_i, A_0) = 0$.

**Proof.** Suppose $f_0 \in \text{ARI}(X, Y)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Fix $i$ such that $d^S(f_i, f_0) < \varepsilon/2$. There exist maps $h_i: A_i \to X$, $h_0: A_0 \to X$ such that $f_i h_i$ and $f_0 h_0$ are $(\varepsilon/2)$-pushes.

Consider $F = f_0 h_i: A_i \to A_0$, $G = f_i h_i: A_i \to A_i$.

We have

$$d^S(F, l_{A_i}) < d^S(f_0 h_i, f_i h_i) + d^S(f_i h_i, l_{A_i}) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon$$

and

$$d^S(G, l_{A_0}) < d^S(f_i h_0, f_0 h_0) + d^S(f_0 h_0, l_{A_0}) < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon.$$

It follows that $\lim_{i \to \infty} d_c(A_i, A_0) = 0$.

Conversely, if $\lim_{i \to \infty} d_c(A_i, A_0) = 0$, fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $i$ such that $d_c(A_i, A_0) < \varepsilon/3$ and $d^S(f_i, f_0) < \varepsilon/3$. There exist maps $g_i: A_0 \to A_i$, $h_i: A_i \to X$ such that $g_i$ and $f_i h_i$ are $(\varepsilon/3)$-pushes. Consider $h = h_i g_i: A_0 \to X$. We have

$$d^S(f_0 h, l_{A_0}) < d^S(f_0 h g_i, f_i h_i g_i) + d^S(f_i h_i g_i, g_i) + d^S(g_i, l_{A_0}) < \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 = \varepsilon.$$ 

It follows that $f_0 \in \text{ARI}(X, Y)$.
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