
TOPOLOGY PROCEEDINGS



Volume 8, 1983

Pages 29–36

<http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

CAPACITY SPACES

by

HAROLD R. BENNETT, WAYNE LEWIS AND MLADEN LUKSIC

Topology Proceedings

Web: <http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/>

Mail: Topology Proceedings
Department of Mathematics & Statistics
Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA

E-mail: topolog@auburn.edu

ISSN: 0146-4124

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.

CAPACITY SPACES

Harold R. Bennett, Wayne Lewis and Mladen Luksic

In 1976 E. V. Scepina [S₁] defined a capacity on a T₃-space X to be a function ϵ (called the capacity) from $X \times \mathcal{F}$ (where \mathcal{F} is the class of all closed subsets of X) into the set of non-negative real numbers such that

(c-1) $\epsilon(x, F) > 0$ if and only if $x \in \text{Int}(F)$ (Interior of F)

(c-2) if $F_1 \subseteq F_2$, then $\epsilon(x, F_1) \leq \epsilon(x, F_2)$

(c-3) if F is fixed, then ϵ is continuous in the first variable, and

(c-4) if $\{F_\alpha\}$ is a family of closed sets linearly ordered by set inclusion, then $\epsilon(x, \bigcap F_\alpha) = \inf_\alpha \{\epsilon(x, F_\alpha)\}$, where $x \in X$ and each $F_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$.

A space with a capacity is called a capacity space. If (X, d) is a metric space then a capacity may be defined on X by

$$\epsilon(x, F) = d(x, X-F)$$

Thus a capacity space is a generalization of a metric space.

Recall that a closed subset A of space X is regularly closed if $A = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$ and the complement of a regularly closed set is called a regularly open set (where $\text{Cl}(A)$ is the closure of A in X). Also, recall that a space is perfectly κ -normal if any two non-intersecting regularly closed sets have non-intersecting neighborhoods and every regularly closed set is the countable intersection of regularly open sets. Capacity spaces were evidently introduced as a tool to study perfectly κ -normal spaces.

Using (c-1) and (c-3) it can be shown that each capacity space is perfectly κ -normal.

It can be shown that Heath's sticker space, Example 1 of [H], is not perfectly κ -normal (and hence not a capacity space). It can also be shown that the Moore plane [W, exercise 4B] does have a capacity. Thus the property of having a capacity is a differentiating feature for the class of Moore spaces.

In [S₁] there were nine theorems (Theorems 5-13) involving capacity spaces that were given without proof. One of these theorems asserted that a LOTS (=linearly ordered topological space) with a capacity is metrizable. In [BL] this result is obtained as a corollary to the more general result.

Theorem 1. A GO-space (=generalized ordered space) with a capacity has a G_δ -diagonal and is perfect (=closed sets are G_δ -sets).

The question of what subspaces of a capacity space have a capacity naturally arises.

Theorem 2. Let Y be a subspace of a capacity space X. If Y is either a regularly closed, or open subset of X, then Y is a capacity space.

Proof. Let ϵ be a capacity on X. If Y is a regularly closed subspace of X define

$$\eta(x, F) = \epsilon(x, F \cup \text{Cl}(X-F))$$

for $x \in Y$ and F closed in Y.

If Y is an open subspace of X define

$$\eta(x, F) = \varepsilon(x, F \cup (X-F))$$

for $x \in Y$ and f closed in Y . In either case it is not difficult to verify that η is a capacity in Y .

It will be shown later that closed subspaces of capacity spaces need not have a capacity. To solve the dense subspace problem we need the following definition.

Definition. A capacity for a space X is *faithful* if (c-5) $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\text{Int } F_1 = \text{Int } F_2$, then $\varepsilon(x, F_1) = \varepsilon(x, F_2)$.

Theorem 3. If Y is a dense subspace of a faithful capacity space X , then Y is a faithful capacity space.

Proof. Let ε be a faithful capacity on X . Define $\eta(x, F) = \varepsilon(x, \text{Cl}(F, X))$ where $\text{Cl}(F, X)$ is the closure of F in X . It is routine to verify that η is a faithful capacity on Y .

The condition (c-5) leads to the following question.

Question 1. Does there exist a capacity space that *does not* have a faithful capacity?

The following example gives a non-faithful capacity of $[0,1]$ with the usual topology. Since this space is metric it also has a faithful capacity.

Example. For a closed set F in $X = [0,1]$ with the usual topology let $\varepsilon(x, F) = d(x, X-F) \cdot m^*(F)$ where d is the usual metric on $[0,1]$ and $m^*(F)$ is the outer Lebesgue measure of F .

It is straight forward to verify that this is a non-faithful capacity on $[0,1]$.

In 1980 in another paper $[S_2]$ by Scepin, the notion of a κ -metric on a completely regular space X is introduced. Let \mathcal{C} be the class of all regularly closed subsets of X . Then a nonnegative real-valued function ρ with domain $X \times \mathcal{C}$ is a κ -metric on X if

(k-1) $\rho(x, C) = 0$ if and only if $x \in C$,

(k-2) if C_1 and C_2 are in \mathcal{C} and $C_1 \subset C_2$, then $\rho(x, C_1) \geq \rho(x, C_2)$

(k-3) if C is fixed, then ρ is continuous in the first variable, and

(k-4) if $\{C_\alpha\}$ is a transfinite increasing collection of elements of \mathcal{C} , then $\rho(x, \text{Cl}(\bigcup_\alpha C_\alpha)) = \inf_\alpha \{\rho(x, C_\alpha)\}$.

In $[S_2]$ proofs for the theorems in $[S_1]$ are indicated except for Theorem 12 of $[S_1]$ which is stated as an open problem in $[S_2]$. These proofs are given in the κ -metrizable setting rather than the capacity setting. This is due, perhaps, to the unproven statement that spaces with a capacity "are identical with κ -metrizable spaces," ($[S_2]$, p. 411).

The following theorem indicates that this may not be true and if the answer to Question 1 is yes then the theorems in $[S_1]$ do need to be addressed in a capacity space setting.

Theorem 4. A completely regular space X has a faithful capacity if and only if X is κ -metrizable.

Proof. Let X have a faithful capacity ϵ . For each

$C \in \mathcal{C}$ let

$$\rho(x, C) = \varepsilon(x, Cl(X-C))$$

Conditions (k-1), (k-2), and (k-3) are readily obtainable. To see that (k-4) is true, let $\{C_\alpha\}$ be an increasing collection of elements of \mathcal{C} . Then $\rho(x, Cl(UC_\alpha)) = \varepsilon(x, Cl(X-Cl(UC_\alpha)))$. On the other hand

$$\inf_\alpha \{\rho(x, C_\alpha)\} = \inf_\alpha \{\varepsilon(x, Cl(X-C_\alpha))\} = \varepsilon(x, \cap Cl(X-C_\alpha))$$

since $\{Cl(X-C_\alpha)\}$ is a decreasing collection of closed sets. Since ε is faithful capacity we must show

$$Int(Cl(X-Cl(UC_\alpha))) = Int(\cap Cl(X-C_\alpha))$$

To this end let $z \in Int(Cl(X-Cl(UC_\alpha)))$. Then there is an open set U containing z such that $U \subset Cl(X-Cl(UC_\alpha))$. Since each C_α is regularly closed and $\{C_\alpha\}$ is an increasing collection, $U \cap C_\alpha = \emptyset$ for each α . Thus $U \subset X-C_\alpha \subset Cl(X-C_\alpha)$. Hence $U \subset \cap Cl(X-C_\alpha)$ and it follows that $z \in Int(\cap Cl(X-C_\alpha))$.

If $z \in Int(\cap Cl(X-C_\alpha))$ then there is an open set U containing z such that $U \subset \cap Cl(X-C_\alpha)$. Hence for each α , $U \subset Cl(X-C_\alpha)$ and, since C_α is regularly closed, $U \cap C_\alpha = \emptyset$. Thus $U \cap (UC_\alpha) = \emptyset$. It follows that $U \cap Cl(UC_\alpha) = \emptyset$. Thus $U \subset X-Cl(UC_\alpha)$. Hence $z \in Int(Cl(X-Cl(UC_\alpha)))$. Hence $Int(Cl(X-Cl(UC_\alpha))) = Int(\cap Cl(X-C_\alpha))$ and, since ε is faithful, we have $\varepsilon(x, Cl(X-Cl(UC_\alpha))) = \varepsilon(x, \cap Cl(X-C_\alpha))$ from which it follows that $\rho(x, Cl(UC_\alpha)) = \inf\{\rho(x, C_\alpha)\}$.

Conversely, let ρ be a κ -metric on X . For each $x \in X$ and closed set F in X let $\varepsilon(x, F) = \rho(x, Cl(X-F))$. Notice that ε is well-defined since if F is closed then $Cl(X-F)$ is regularly closed. Conditions (c-1), (c-2) and (c-3) are readily obtainable.

To see that (c-4) is true let $\{F_\alpha\}$ be a decreasing sequence of closed sets. Then

$$\varepsilon(x, \cap F_\alpha) = \rho(x, \text{Cl}(X - \cap F_\alpha))$$

and

$$\inf_\alpha \{\varepsilon(x, F_\alpha)\} = \inf_\alpha \{\rho(x, \text{Cl}(X - F_\alpha))\} = \rho(x, \text{Cl}(\cup \text{Cl}(X - F_\alpha))).$$

Thus, it must be shown that

$$\text{Cl}(X - \cap F_\alpha) = \text{Cl}(\cup \text{Cl}(X - F_\alpha)).$$

To this end let $z \in \text{Cl}(X - \cap F_\alpha) = \text{Cl}(\cup (X - F_\alpha))$. For each open set U containing z , it follows that $U \cap (\cup (X - F_\alpha)) \neq \emptyset$.

Hence

$$z \in \text{Cl}(\cup (X - F_\alpha)) \subseteq \text{Cl}(\cup \text{Cl}(X - F_\alpha)).$$

Let $z \in \text{Cl}(\cup \text{Cl}(X - F_\alpha))$. Then for each open set U containing z there is a member $M(U)$ of the well-ordered indexing set to which α belongs, such that if $M(U)$ precedes α in the well-ordering, then $U \cap \text{Cl}(X - \cap F_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ and $z \in \text{Cl}(X - \cap F_\alpha)$.

Hence ε is a capacity for X .

If F_1 and F_2 are closed sets such that $\text{Int } F_1 = \text{Int } F_2$, then $\text{Cl}(X - F_1) = \text{Cl}(X - F_2)$. Thus, if $x \in X$,

$$\rho(x, \text{Cl}(X - F_1)) = \rho(x, \text{Cl}(X - F_2)).$$

From this it follows that

$$\varepsilon(x, F_1) = \varepsilon(x, F_2)$$

and ε is a faithful capacity on X .

In $[S_2]$ it is shown that the product of κ -metrizable spaces is a κ -metrizable space. This fact is used in the next example.

Example. There is a κ -metrizable space X with a closed subspace Y that does not have a capacity.

Let Z be an uncountable subset of $[0,1]$ whose only compact (with regard to the usual topology) subsets are countable (see [K]). Let $Y = \text{Cl}(Z, [0,1])$. Topologize Y with a finer topology τ than the relative Euclidean topology by letting points of $Y-Z$ be discrete. It follows that (Y, τ) is a quasi-developable, $[B_1]$, GO-space which is not metrizable. If (Y, τ) had a capacity, then, by Theorem 1, (Y, τ) would be perfect and, hence, developable $[B_1]$. Since (Y, τ) is a GO-space it would be metrizable. From this contradiction it follows that (Y, τ) cannot have a capacity.

It is not difficult to prove that (Y, τ) is a Lindelöf space and, hence, realcompact. Thus (Y, τ) can be closed embedded in a product of real lines. Let $X = \prod_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}$ (where each R_{α} is a copy of the real line) contain a homeomorphic copy of (Y, τ) as a closed subset. Since each R_{α} is κ -metrizable it follows that X is κ -metrizable [S₂, pg. 408]. Hence closed subspaces of κ -metrizable spaces need not have a capacity.

References

- [B₁] H. R. Bennett, *A note on the metrizability of M-spaces*, Proc. Jap. Acad. 45, No. 1 (1969).
- [BL] _____ and D. J. Lutzer, *Generalized ordered spaces with capacities*, Pac. J. of Math. (to appear).
- [H] R. W. Heath, *Screenability, pointwise paracompactness and metrization of Moore spaces*, Can. J. Math. 16 (1964).
- [K] C. Kuratowski, *Topologie I*, Mono. Mat. 20 (1958), Warsaw.

- [S₁] E. V. Scepín, *On topological products, groups and a new class of spaces more general than metric spaces*, Sov. Math. Dokl. 17, No. 1 (1976).
- [S₂] _____, *On κ -metrizable spaces*, Math. USSR Izvestija 14, No. 2 (1980).
- [W] S. Willard, *General topology*, Addison-Wesley Series in Mathematics, 1970.

Texas Tech University

Lubbock, Texas 79409