

http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/

# Selective Separability and $\mathrm{SS^+}$

by

DOYEL BARMAN AND ALAN DOW

Electronically published on August 20, 2010

# **Topology Proceedings**

Web:	http://topology.auburn.edu/tp/
Mail:	Topology Proceedings
	Department of Mathematics & Statistics
	Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
E-mail:	topolog@auburn.edu
ISSN:	0146-4124
~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	

COPYRIGHT © by Topology Proceedings. All rights reserved.



E-Published on August 20, 2010

# SELECTIVE SEPARABILITY AND SS<sup>+</sup>

#### DOYEL BARMAN AND ALAN DOW

ABSTRACT. Inspired by the paper [4], we continue the study of the notion of selective separability which was introduced by Scheepers in [8]. It is shown that separable Fréchet spaces are selectively separable and that it is consistent that the product of such spaces need not be. We also introduce a notion stronger than selectively separable and, motivated by the questions in [4], consider these properties in countable dense subsets of uncountable powers.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of selective separability (or SS) was introduced by Marion Scheepers [8] and is defined in Definition 2.1. Particularly notable is the naturalness of the SS notion in function spaces with the pointwise convergence topology, namely  $C_p(X)$  for metric spaces X. For a space X,  $C_p(X)$  is the subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^X$  consisting of the continuous functions on X (i.e., C(X) with the topology of pointwise convergence). We will let  $C_p(X, 2)$  be the subspace of  $C_p(X)$  consisting of the 2-valued functions. Since such spaces are dense in the product space  $2^X$ , it is also natural to consider other countable dense subsets of such powers.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 54H11, 54C10, 54D06.

Key words and phrases. Selective Separability,  $SS^+$ .

The first author acknowledges support provided by NSF grant DMS-20060114.

The second author was also supported by NSF grant DMS-20060114. ©2010 Topology Proceedings.

Many interesting results and questions were presented in the paper [4] and we consider some of them here. We show that every separable Fréchet space is SS. We prove that there is a dense subspace of  $2^{\omega_1}$  which is SS. We exploit the connections found in [8, 4] between the Menger Property of a space X and selective separability of  $C_p(X)$ . For several of our results we require extra set-theoretic hypotheses. Using  $\mathsf{MA}_{\mathsf{ctble}}$  we establish that the product of two countable SS spaces may not be SS and that there is a maximal regular SS space. We seem to require CH to prove that the product of two countable Fréchet spaces may not be SS. We show that adding Sacks reals can destroy SS property. We study a stronger notion called SS<sup>+</sup> and show that SS does not imply SS<sup>+</sup>.

The assumption  $MA_{ctble}$  is the statement that the well-known statement of Martin's Axiom holds for countable posets (rather than necessarily all ccc posets). This is equivalent to the statement that the real line cannot be covered by a family of fewer than  $\mathfrak{c}$ many nowhere dense sets and is known to imply that the dominating number  $\mathfrak{d}$  is  $\mathfrak{c}$ . The bounding number  $\mathfrak{b}$  is the minimum cardinality of a subset of  $\omega^{\omega}$  which has no mod finite upper bound. The pseudointersection number,  $\mathfrak{p}$ , is the minimum cardinality of a free filter base on  $\omega$  for which there is no infinite set which is mod finite contained in each member of the filter.

#### 2. On selective separability

Let us start this section with the definition of selective separability of a topological space X.

**Definition 2.1.** [8] A space X is called selectively separable (or SS) if for each sequence  $\{D_n\}_n$  of dense sets, there is a selection  $\{E_n \in [D_n]^{<\omega}\}_{n \in \omega}$  with dense union.

Now we have some results concerning  $\pi$ -weight of a space and its relation with selective separability. Before citing those results let us recall the definition.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. A family  $\zeta \subset \tau$  is a  $\pi$ -base of X if for each  $U \in \tau$ , there is a  $B \in \zeta$  such that  $B \subset U$ .

The cardinal  $\pi w(X)$  (called the  $\pi$ -weight of X) is the minimal cardinality of a  $\pi$ -base of the space X. The following pair of results are already known.

**Proposition 2.3.** [4] Each space with countable  $\pi$ -weight is selectively separable.

**Proposition 2.4.** [8] Each countable space with  $\pi$ -weight  $< \mathfrak{d}$  is selectively separable.

Proof. Let us fix a sequence of indexed dense sets  $\{D_n = \{d(n,l) : l \in \omega\} : n \in \omega\}$ . Fix a  $\pi$ -base  $\mathcal{U}$  of cardinality less than  $\mathfrak{d}$ . For each  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  there is a function  $f_U \in \omega^\omega$  satisfying, for each  $n \in \omega$ ,  $U \cap \{d(n,\ell) : \ell < f_U(n)\} \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $|\mathfrak{U}| < \mathfrak{d}$ , there is a function  $g \in \omega^\omega$  such that  $f_U \not\leq^* g$  for all U. Now let  $E_n = \{d(n,l) : l < g(n)\}$ . Then it is easy to check that, for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}, U \cap E_n \neq \emptyset$  for all such n such that  $f_U(n) < g(n)$ , and so  $U \cap \bigcup_n E_n$  is not empty.  $\Box$ 

A space is said to be *crowded* if it has no isolated points. For convenience we will often assume that the spaces under discussion are crowded. Spaces which are not crowded are easily handled by the following observation.

**Lemma 2.5.** A space X is SS if and only if the set I of isolated points is countable and  $X \setminus \overline{I}$  is SS.

We recall, and generalize, the notion of countable fan-tightness.

**Definition 2.6.** A space X has countable (dense) fan-tightness at  $x \in X$ , if for each sequence (of dense sets)  $\{Y_n\}_n$  with  $x \in \bigcap_n \overline{Y}_n$ , there is a selection  $\{W_n \in [Y_n]^{<\omega} : n \in \omega\}$  such that  $x \in \bigcup_n W_n$ . A space X has countable (dense) fan-tightness if it has countable (dense) fan-tightness at each point  $x \in X$ .

It is immediate that each SS space has countable dense fantightness, but it is useful to make note of the partial converse.

**Lemma 2.7.** For a space X, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X is SS,
- (2) X is separable and has countable dense fan-tightness,
- (3) X has countable dense fan-tightness at each point of some countable dense subset.

Proof. It suffices to prove that condition 3 implies condition 1. We may assume that the space X is crowded. Let  $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a partition of  $\omega$  into infinite sets. Let  $D = \{d_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a dense subset of X such that X has countable dense fan-tightness at each  $d \in D$ . Let  $\{D_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a sequence of dense subsets of X. Now for each  $n \in \omega$  and for each  $k \in A_n$  we use countable fantightness to select  $\{F_k^n : n \in \omega, k \in A_n\}$  so that  $d_n \in \bigcup_{k \in A_n} F_k^n$ . Since  $X = \overline{\{d_n : n \in \omega\}}$  and  $\{d_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_n \bigcup_{k \in A_n} F_k^n}$ .

One of our main results shows the surprising connection between the Fréchet property and selective separability. Let us recall the definition of a Fréchet space:

**Definition 2.8.** A space is called Fréchet if it is the case that a point is in the closure of a subset of X iff there is a sequence from the set converging to that point.

# **Theorem 2.9.** Each separable Fréchet space is selectively separable.

Proof. We may assume that the space X is crowded. Let D be the postulated countable dense subset of X and let  $d \in D$ . By Lemma 2.7, it suffices to show that X has countable dense fan-tightness at (each point) d (of D). Since  $d \in \overline{X \setminus \{d\}}$  there exists a sequence  $\{d_n : n \in \omega\} \subseteq X \setminus \{d\}$  which converges to d. Let  $\{D_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a family of dense subsets of X. If we replace each  $D_n$  by  $\bigcup_{k \ge n} D_k$ , we may assume that the sequence  $\{D_n : n \in \omega\}$  is descending. For all  $n, d_n \in \overline{D}_n$ , which implies that there exists a sequence  $S_n \subseteq D_n$  which converges to  $d_n$ . Now we observe that  $d \in \bigcup_n S_n$  and, therefore, we can select a sequence  $S_d \subseteq \bigcup_n S_n$  such that  $S_d \to d$ . Now for all  $n \in \omega, S_d \cap S_n$  is finite since  $S_d$  and  $S_n$  converge to distinct points. Let  $F_n = S_d \cap S_n$ , which is a finite subset of  $D_n$ . Now  $S_d = \bigcup_n F_n$  and  $d \in \overline{S}_d$ , which implies  $d \in \overline{\bigcup_n F_n}$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2.7, X is selectively separable.

We present the following example because it seems to us to be a very natural example of a countable space with minimal  $\pi$ -weight (namely  $\mathfrak{d}$ ) which fails to be selectively separable. An example using  $C_p(X)$  theory was given in [4].

ON SS AND  $SS^+$ 

**Example 2.10.** Consider the box topology on the countable power  $(\omega + 1)^{\omega}$  where  $\omega + 1$  is the usual compact ordinal topology. Let  $S = \{f \in \Box(\omega + 1)^{\omega} : (\exists n) \ f(k) = \omega \text{ iff } k \ge n\}.$ 

Let  $D_n = \{f \in S : f(k) \neq \omega \forall k \leq n\}$ . It is easily seen that  $D_n$ is a dense subset of S which is moreover open. We will show that the sequence  $\{D_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a witness to the fact that S is not SS. Assume that  $F_n \in [D_n]^{<\omega}$  for each  $n \in \omega$ . Define a function  $h \in \omega^{\omega}$  so that f(n) < h(n) for each  $f \in F_n$ . Now the basic open set  $\prod_{k \in \omega} [h(k), \omega]$  in  $\Box (\omega + 1)^{\omega}$  does meet S but it is clearly disjoint from  $\bigcup_n F_n$ . Therefore S is not selectively separable.

To show  $\pi w(S) = \mathfrak{d}$ , let  $\mathcal{D} \subset \omega^{\omega}$  be a dominating family of functions of cardinality  $\mathfrak{d}$ . Then the basic open sets are of the form:  $W(t, f) = \prod_{i < dom(t)} \{t(i)\} \times \prod_{i \geq dom(t)} [f(i), \omega], t \in \omega^{<\omega}$  and  $f \in \omega^{\omega}$ . For any open U(s, g) we can take  $W(s, f) \subset U(s, g)$  where f dominates  $g, f \in \mathcal{D}$ . Let  $\kappa < \mathfrak{d}$ , then for  $\{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}, \exists g$  such that  $|\{n : f_{\alpha}(n) < g(n)\}| = \omega$ . Then  $U_{\alpha} \not\subset W(\emptyset, g)$ , which shows that  $\{U_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}, \kappa < \mathfrak{d}$  is not a  $\pi$ -base. Therefore  $\pi \omega(S) = \mathfrak{d}$ .

The elegant and natural connections between properties of a space X and the selective separability of its function space  $C_p(X)$  was discovered in [8] and explored further in [4]. The connection is the Menger Property.

**Definition 2.11.** A space X has the Menger Property (or is Menger) if for each sequence  $\{U_n\}_n$  of open covers, there is a selection  $\{W_n \in [U_n]^{<\omega}\}_n$  such that  $\bigcup_n (\bigcup W_n)$  is a cover.

For example, any  $\sigma$ -compact space, such as  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $2^{\omega}$ , has the Menger Property but it is known that  $\omega^{\omega} \approx \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  does not.

**Theorem 2.12.** [8, 4] For a space X,  $C_p(X)$  is selectively separable if and only if  $C_p(X)$  is separable and  $X^n$  is Menger for each  $n \in \omega$ .

The following theorem is due to Arhangelskii.

**Theorem 2.13.** [1]  $X^n$  is Menger for each n if and only if  $C_p(X)$  has countable fan tightness.

We shall need one direction of the above result, so we include a proof for the reader's convenience.

**Proposition 2.14.** If a space X has the property that  $X^n$  is Menger for each n, then  $C_p(X)$  has countable fan tightness.

*Proof.* Since  $C_p(X)$  is homogeneous, it suffices to show that  $C_p(X)$ has countable fan-tightness at the constant zero function 0. Let  $\{D_n\}_n$  be the sequence of sets each with the constant <u>0</u> function as a  $C_p(X)$ -limit. For each n, let  $U_n$  be the collection of open sets  $\{(d^{-1}(-\frac{1}{n},\frac{1}{n}))^k: d \in D_n, k \le n\}.$  We show that  $U_n$  contains an open cover of  $X^k$  for each  $k \leq n$ . Fix any  $k \leq n$  and  $\langle x_i \rangle_{i < k} \in X^k$ . Since  $\underline{0}$  is a limit of  $D_n$ , there exists a  $d \in D_n$  such that  $d(x_i) \in (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$  for each i < k. This, in turn, means that  $\langle x_i \rangle_{i < k} \in (d^{-1}(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}))^k$  which is a member of  $U_n$ . Thus it follows that  $U_n$ contains an open cover of  $X^k$ . Applying the Menger Property (for  $X^k$  for each k and open covers  $\{U_n : k \leq n \in \omega\}$  we can select  $E_n \in [D_n]^{<\omega}$  for each n so that the finite subcollection  $W_n$ , of  $U_n$ we get from the elements  $d \in E_n$  yields a cover of each  $X^k$ . In fact, we can, and do, ensure that for each k < n, the collection  $\bigcup_{n \leq m} W_m$  contains a cover of  $X^k$ . To show that  $\underline{0}$  is a limit of  $\bigcup_{n \leq m} W_m \text{ contains a cover of } n + 1 \text{ for blow that } \underline{\bigcirc} \text{ is a mine of } \bigcup_n E_n, \text{ let us fix any } k, \{x_i : i < k\} \subset X \text{ and } n \geq k. \text{ Now we need an } e \in \bigcup_{n \leq m} E_m \text{ such that } e(x_i) \in (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}) \text{ for } i < k. \text{ Since } \langle x_i \rangle_{i < k} \text{ is covered by the collection } \bigcup_{n \leq m} W_m, \text{ we get one such } e \text{ in } i \neq \infty$  $\bigcup_{n < m} E_n$ .

These next results, also from [4], reveal some of the interesting behavior of SS in products and subspaces.

**Corollary 2.15.**  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  has a dense selectively separable subspace, namely  $C_p(2^{\omega}, 2)$ .

*Proof.* Countable fan-tightness is easily seen to be hereditary and  $C_p(2^{\omega}, 2)$  is separable. Therefore it is SS. It is well-known that  $C_p(2^{\omega}, 2)$  is dense in  $2^{2^{\omega}}$ .

Similarly we have the existence of a countable dense non-SS subspace.

**Corollary 2.16.** 2<sup>c</sup> has a countable dense non-selectively separable subspace, namely  $C_p(\omega^{\omega}, 2)$ .

Let us mention here that G. Gruenhage [7] has established the non-trivial fact that a finite union of SS spaces is again SS. On the other hand, it is interesting to note that the union of the two

countable dense subsets of the product space  $2^{\epsilon}$  results in a countable space which is not SS and yet which has a dense SS subset. Certainly a countable discrete space is SS, hence the continuous image of an SS space need not be SS. A more revealing example of this is to consider a dense copy, X, of the irrationals in  $2^{\omega}$ , and to observe that  $\{f \upharpoonright X : f \in C_p(2^{\omega}, 2)\}$  is a continuous image (by the projection map from  $2^{2^{\omega}}$  onto  $2^X$ ) of the SS space  $C_p(2^{\omega}, 2)$  which is itself not SS (we omit the proof). Similarly, as noted in [4], the non-SS space  $C_p(\omega^{\omega}, 2)$  has a countable dense SS subspace consisting of those functions which are continous with respect to a coarser (compact Hausdorff) topology on  $\omega^{\omega}$ .

The following result was shown to hold for countable  $\pi$ -weight in [4].

**Theorem 2.17.** If X and Y are both countable, selectively separable and  $\pi w(Y) < \mathfrak{b}$ , then  $X \times Y$  is selectively separable.

Proof. Let  $\{\mathcal{B}_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}$  where  $\kappa < \mathfrak{b}$  be a  $\pi$ -base for Y. Let  $\{D_k = \{d_{k,m} : m \in \omega\} : k \in \omega\}$  be the countable sequence of dense subsets of  $X \times Y$ . Let  $\pi_x$  and  $\pi_y$  be the natural projection onto the spaces X and Y respectively. Now the set  $G_k^{\alpha} = \pi_x[D_k \cap (X \times B_{\alpha})]$  is dense in X. Since X is selectively separable, there is a selection  $F_k^{\alpha} \subseteq D_k$   $(k \in \omega)$  so that  $\pi_x[F_k^{\alpha}] \subseteq G_k^{\alpha}$  and  $\bigcup \pi_x[F_k^{\alpha}] = X$ . Since  $F_k^{\alpha}$  is finite,  $\exists f_{\alpha}(k) \in \omega$  so that  $F_k^{\alpha} \subseteq \{d_{k,m} : m < f_{\alpha}(k)\}$ . Therefore we have a sequence  $\{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \kappa\}$  where  $f_{\alpha} : \omega \to \omega$ . Since  $\kappa < \mathfrak{b}$ , there exists a function  $f \in \omega^{\omega}$  such that  $\forall \alpha < \kappa$ ,  $f_{\alpha} <^* f$ . Let us define  $F_k = \{d_{k,m} : m < f(k)\} \subset D_k$ . We claim that  $\bigcup_{k \in \omega} F_k = X \times Y$ . Let us choose a basic open set  $U \times B_{\alpha}$  of  $X \times Y$ , then  $\exists l \in \omega$  such that  $\forall i > l, f(i) > f_{\alpha}(i)$ . Since  $U \cap \bigcup \Pi_x "F_k^{\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ , there exists a  $z \in F_k$  such that  $\pi_x(z) \in U \cap \bigcup \pi_x[F_k^{\alpha}]$ , which implies that  $z \in F_k \cap (U \times B_{\alpha})$ . Therefore  $\bigcup F_k$  is dense in  $X \times Y$ .

Another of our main results is to confirm the conjecture in [4] that SS is not productive in general.

**Theorem 2.18.**  $(MA_{ctble})$  There exists two countable SS spaces whose product is not SS.

*Proof.* Let us consider the set  $\mathbb{Q} = \{q_i : i \in \omega\}$  with the standard zero-dimensional topology generated by a countable base  $\mathcal{B}_0^0 = \mathcal{B}_0^1$  of clopen sets. Let  $\tau_0^0$  and  $\tau_0^1$  denote the topologies so generated.

Obviously  $(\mathbb{Q}, \tau_0^0)$  and  $(\mathbb{Q}, \tau_0^1)$  are SS. We will enlarge our topology in such a way that the product space  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$  will not be SS. Let  $\{E_n : n \in \omega\}$  be a countable family of dense sets in  $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $E_n$  hits every row and column in a singleton set, in fact for any  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $|E_n \cap [(\{q\} \times \mathbb{Q}) \cup (\mathbb{Q} \times \{q\})]| \leq 1$ . Moreover we ensure that for each  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , there is at most one integer n such that  $E_n \cap [(\{q\} \times \mathbb{Q}) \cup (\mathbb{Q} \times \{q\})]$  is non-empty. In order to ensure the product is not SS, we let  $\{\langle F_n^{\alpha} : n \in \omega \rangle : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c}\}$  be an enumeration of all selections  $\{F_n \in [E_n]^{<\omega} : n \in \omega\}$ . Let  $\{S_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c}\}$  be a listing of all the countable subsets of  $\mathfrak{c}$  so that for each  $\alpha$ ,  $S_{\alpha} \subset \alpha$ . Of course the family  $\{Y_{\alpha} = \{q_i : i \in S_{\alpha} \cap \omega\} : \alpha \in \mathfrak{c} \text{ and } S_{\alpha} \subset \omega\}$ is also a listing of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ .

By induction on  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{c}$ , we define families  $\langle \mathcal{B}_{\beta}^{0} : \beta < \alpha \rangle$ ,  $\langle \mathcal{B}_{\beta}^{1} : \beta < \alpha \rangle$  $\beta < \alpha \rangle, \ \langle D^0_\beta : \beta < \alpha \rangle, \ \text{and} \ \langle D^1_\beta : \beta < \alpha \rangle \ \text{so that, for each } i \in 2 \ \text{and}$  $\beta < \gamma < \alpha,$ 

- (1)  $\mathcal{B}^i_\beta \subset \mathcal{B}^i_\gamma$ ,
- (2)  $\mathcal{B}^i_{\beta}$  has cardinality at most  $|\beta + \omega|$  and is a base of clopen sets for a topology,  $\tau^i_\beta$ , on  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,
- (3)  $\{D^i_{\xi} : \xi < \beta\}$  is a family subsets of  $\mathbb{Q}$  which are dense in the  $\tau^i_\beta$  topology,
- (4) for each n,  $E_n$  is dense in the product topology  $\tau^0_\beta \times \tau^1_\beta$ , and  $\bigcup_{n} F_{n}^{\beta} \text{ is not dense in the product } \tau_{\gamma}^{0} \times \tau_{\gamma}^{1},$ (5) if  $S_{\beta} \subset \omega$  and  $Y_{\beta}$  is dense in  $(\mathbb{Q}, \tau_{\beta}^{i})$ , then  $D_{\beta}^{i} = Y_{\beta},$
- (6) if  $S_{\beta}$  is infinite and not contained in  $\omega$ , then there is a sequence  $\{E_{\xi}^i \in [D_{\xi}^i]^{<\omega} : \xi \in S_{\beta}\}$  such that  $D_{\beta}^i = \bigcup_{\xi \in S_{\alpha}} E_{\xi}^i$ .

To complete the  $\alpha = 0$  stage of the induction, we may let  $D_0^0 =$  $D_0^1$  be any dense subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  (with the usual topology). Now we assume that  $\alpha > 0$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit and  $i \in 2$ , then  $\mathcal{B}^i_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{B}^i_{\beta}$ . If  $\alpha$  is a successor, we define  $\mathcal{B}^0_{\alpha}$  and  $\mathcal{B}^1_{\alpha}$  below.

The choices of  $D^0_{\alpha}$  and  $D^1_{\alpha}$  do not depend on whether or not  $\alpha$ is a limit. If  $S_{\alpha}$  is finite, then  $D_{\alpha}^{i} = D_{0}^{i}$  for each  $i \in 2$ . If  $S_{\alpha}$  is a subset of  $\omega$ , then, independently for  $i \in 2$ , we set  $D^i_{\alpha} = Y_{\alpha}$  if  $Y_{\alpha}$ is dense in  $\tau^i_{\alpha}$ , and otherwise, let  $D^i_{\alpha} = D^i_0$ . If  $S_{\alpha}$  is infinite and is not a subset of  $\omega$ , then, again independently for  $i \in 2$ , we let  $D^i_{\alpha}$ be any  $\tau^i_{\alpha}$ -dense set satisfying the last condition. Such a set exists since  $\tau_{\alpha}^{i}$  is SS because of Lemma 2.4 and, by the hypothesis of the theorem,  $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{c}$ .

Finally, in the case that  $\alpha = \beta + 1$  we consider the construction of  $\mathcal{B}^0_{\alpha}, \mathcal{B}^1_{\alpha}$  in order to satisfy condition 2. We will choose two sets  $A_0$ and  $A_1$  such that  $(A_0 \times A_1) \cap (\cup_n F_n^{\alpha}) = \emptyset$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}^i_{\alpha}$  is the topology generated by  $\mathcal{B}^i_{\beta} \cup \{A_i, \mathbb{Q} \setminus A_i\}$ .

Let us consider the countable poset,

(2.1) 
$$P = \{ \langle a_j, b_j \rangle_{j < M} \in [\omega^2]^{<\omega} : \\ (\forall j < M - 1) \ (a_j < a_{j+1} \text{ and } b_j < b_{j+1}), \\ \text{and } (\{q_{a_j}\}_{j < M} \times \{q_{b_j}\}_{j < M}) \cap \bigcup_n F_n^{\alpha} = \phi \} .$$

We will define a family of fewer than  $\mathfrak{c}$  many dense subsets of P and, applying  $\mathsf{MA}_{\mathsf{ctble}}$ , select a generic filter G meeting that family of dense sets. Given such a G, we let  $A_0 = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : (\exists \langle a_j, b_j \rangle_{j < M} \in G) \ q \in \{q_{a_i} : i < M\}\}$  and  $A_1 = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : (\exists \langle a_j, b_j \rangle_{j < M} \in G) \ q \in \{q_{b_j} : j < M\}\}.$ 

We must define dense sets to ensure that each  $E_l$  remains dense which requires considering all combinations from  $\{A_0, \mathbb{Q} \setminus A_0\} \times \{A_1, \mathbb{Q} \setminus A_1\}$ .

For each  $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}^0_\beta \times \mathcal{B}^1_\beta$  let

$$(2.2) \quad D(\ell, B, B') = \{ \langle a_j, b_j \rangle_{j < M} \in P : (\exists j < M - 4) \\ (q_{a_j}, q_{b_j}) \in E_{\ell} \cap (B \times B') \\ (\exists i \in (a_j, a_{j+1})) \ (q_i, q_{b_{j+1}}) \in E_{\ell} \cap (B \times B') \\ (\exists i \in (b_{j+1}, b_{j+2})) \ (q_{a_{j+2}}, q_i) \in E_{\ell} \cap (B \times B') \\ (\exists i \in (a_{j+2}, a_{j+3}), i' \in (b_{j+2}, b_{j+3})) \ (q_i, q_{i'}) \in E_{\ell} \cap (B \times B') \} .$$

The special properties of the family  $\{E_k : k \in \omega\}$  ensure that each  $D(\ell, n, B, B')$  is a dense subset of P. To see this, fix any  $p = \langle a_j, b_j \rangle_{j < M} \in P$ . For each j < M, there are at most four points in  $E_\ell$  which have  $q_{a_j}$  or  $q_{b_j}$  in one of their coordinates. Let  $E'_\ell$  be  $E_\ell$  minus these at most 4M many points. Since  $E_\ell$  is  $\tau^0_\alpha \times \tau^1_\alpha$ -dense, there is a  $(q_{a_M}, q_{b_M}) \in (E'_\ell \setminus F^\alpha_\ell) \cap (B \times B')$ . Furthermore, since  $(q_{a_M}, q_{b_M}) \in E_\ell$ , it follows that  $(\{q_{a_M}, q_{b_M}\} \times \mathbb{Q}) \cup (\mathbb{Q} \times \{q_{a_M}, q_{b_M}\})$ is disjoint from  $E_k$  for all  $k \neq \ell$ . Therefore it follows that  $\langle a_i, b_i \rangle_{i \leq M}$ is an extension of p in P. Similarly, repeat this process and choose pairs  $(a_{M+j}, b_{M+j}) \in E_\ell \cap (B \times B')$  (for j < 6) with exactly the same requirements (so as to ensure no intersection with  $\bigcup_n F^\alpha_n$ ). The desired extension q of p which is in the set  $D(\ell, n, B, B')$  is  $\langle a'_i, b'_i \rangle_{j < M+4}$  where

- (1)  $a'_{j} = a_{j}$  and  $b'_{j} = b_{j}$  for  $j \le M$ , (2)  $a'_{M+1} = a_{M+2}$  and  $b'_{M+1} = b_{M+1}$ , (3)  $a'_{M+2} = a_{M+2}$  and  $b'_{M+2} = b_{M+3}$ , (4)  $a'_{M+3} = a_{M+5}$  and  $b'_{M+3} = b_{M+5}$ .

By suitably skipping members of  $\langle a_j, b_j \rangle_{j < M+6}$  we have ensured that each of the conditions in  $D(\ell, n, B, B')$  are met by one of the pairs  $(a_i, b_i)$   $(M \le i < M + 6)$ .

Next, to show that each of  $D^0_{\gamma}$  and  $D^1_{\gamma}$  for  $\gamma \leq \alpha$  remain dense, we define

(2.3) 
$$D(\gamma, B, B') = \{ \langle a_j, b_j \rangle_{j < M} \in P : (\exists j < M-1)(\exists i, i')$$
  
such that  $\{q_i, q_{a_j}\} \subset D^0_{\gamma} \cap B, \{q_{i'}, q_{b_{j+1}}\} \subset D^1_{\gamma} \cap B',$   
 $i \in (a_j, a_{j+1}) \text{ and } i' \in (b_j, b_{j+1}) \}$ 

By a similar but easier argument as above, one can show that  $D(\gamma, B, B')$  is a dense subset of P.

This completes the inductive construction of the topologies  $\tau^0 = \tau_{\mathfrak{c}}^0$  and  $\tau^1 = \tau_{\mathfrak{c}}^1$ . The family  $\{E_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a family of dense subsets of the product space, and by condition 2, it is a witness to the fact that the product is not SS. Condition 5 ensures that, for each  $\ell \in 2$ ,  $\{D_{\gamma}^{\ell} : \gamma \in \mathfrak{c}\}$  lists all  $\tau^{\ell}$ -dense sets. Finally, condition 6 ensures that  $\tau^{\ell}$  is SS.

Let us remark that we have learned that the above result has been established independently by Bella and Gruenhage. In addition, L. Babinkostova has a stronger result from CH, namely that there are spaces X, Y such that  $C_p(X)$  and  $C_p(Y)$  are SS but the product is not.

In light of the fact that separable Fréchet spaces are SS, it is natural to wonder if the SS property is productive if the factors are Fréchet. We will show, this time from the continuum hypothesis, that it is not. Although it is a stronger topological statement than 2.18, we include both proofs since the set-theoretic assumption is stronger and the ZFC questions remain open (see 5). Before getting to the Fréchet product question, we turn our attention to maximal spaces and will use one of the methods from these results for the Fréchet result.

Again motivated by the results in [4], we turn our attention to maximal spaces. A space is said to be *maximal* if it is crowded and it has no strictly finer crowded topology. We restrict our interest to maximal spaces which are also regular. Let us recall van Douwen's well-known result that there are regular maximal spaces. One can deduce more from his proof.

**Proposition 2.19.** [5] For any countable crowded regular space X, there is a stronger regular topology on X which contains a dense subspace D which is a maximal space.

The following result was proven from the hypothesis that  $\mathfrak{d} = \omega_1$  in [4].

**Theorem 2.20.** There is a countable maximal space which is not selectively separable.

*Proof.* Let us start with the countable non-SS subspace  $S \subset \square(\omega+1)^{\omega}$  as discussed in Example 2.10. Apply Proposition 2.19 to expand the topology (on a dense subspace) D to a maximal regular topology. We check that D cannot be SS. Of course D maps continously into a dense subset of S. Although a non-SS space can have a preimage which is SS, the reason that does not happen in this example is that the dense subsets,  $\{D_n\}_{n\in\omega}$ , of S from 2.10 which witness that S is not SS are dense open sets. It follows then that the sequence  $\{D \cap D_n\}_{n\in\omega}$  are also dense in the maximal topology. The fact that there is no appropriate dense selection of finite sets for D follows easily from the fact that no such selection exists for the coarser topology on S. □

The next two results establish that the existence of a maximal SS space is independent of ZFC.

**Theorem 2.21.** It is consistent with ZFC that there is no maximal SS space.

*Proof.* Assume that X is a maximal crowded SS space and assume that  $\omega$  is a dense subset. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the filter of dense open subsets of  $\omega$ . Since X is a maximal space, every dense subset of X is open (see [5]), hence  $\mathcal{F}$  is also the (free) filter of dense subsets of  $\omega$ . Since X is SS, it follows easily then that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a P-filter in the (usual) sense that if  $\{F_n : n \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , then there is an  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ , such that  $F \setminus F_n$  is finite for all n. Such an F can be chosen simply by applying

the SS property applied to the descending sequence of dense sets  $\{F_0 \cap \cdots \cap F_n : n \in \omega\}$ . In addition, since X is maximal (and every dense set is open), if  $I \in \mathcal{F}^+$  (i.e.,  $I \cap F \neq \emptyset$  for all  $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ ) then its complement is not dense, hence I must have interior in X. In  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  terminology, we have shown that  $\mathcal{F}$  gives rise to a ccc P-set in  $\omega^*$ . That is, the subset  $K = \bigcap \{F^* : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$  is a P-set in  $\omega^*$  which has the ccc (in fact, it is separable). To finish the proof, we note that it was shown in [6] that it is consistent that there are no such P-sets.  $\Box$ 

#### **Theorem 2.22.** (MA<sub>ctble</sub>) There exists a maximal SS space.

*Proof.* Let us start with  $\omega$  endowed with a crowded metric topology, let  $\tau_0$  be the countable base of clopen sets. Let  $\{D_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$  be the listing of all dense  $\tau_0$ -dense sets. Suppose that at stage  $\alpha$  we have a zero-dimensional topology  $\tau_\alpha$  such that for each  $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$  the following conditions are satisfied,

- (1) If  $\beta < \alpha$  then  $\tau_{\beta} \subset \tau_{\alpha}$ .
- (2) If  $\beta < \alpha$  and  $\mathcal{D}_{\beta}$  is dense in  $\tau_{\beta}$ , they remain dense in  $\tau_{\alpha}$ .
- (3) For  $\beta < \alpha$ ,  $B_{\beta}$  is either open or it has an isolated point in  $\tau_{\beta+1}$ .

At stage  $\alpha$ , along with  $\tau_{\alpha}$  we also have the listing  $\{D_{\beta} : \beta \in \alpha\}$ of dense subsets. If we are given a countable  $S_{\alpha} \in [\alpha]^{\omega}$ , hence a list  $\{D_n : n \in \omega\} = \{D_\beta : \beta \in S_\alpha\}$ , we use MA<sub>ctble</sub> to pick a new countable dense set  $D_{\alpha}$  such that  $D_{\alpha}$  can be expressed as a countable union of finite sets selected from each  $D_{\alpha}$ . This ensures Selective separability. Now to ensure maximality, if we are given any  $B_{\alpha} \subset \omega$ , we first assume that  $B_{\alpha}$  is not currently open, then there is some  $b_{\alpha} \in B_{\alpha}$  which is also in the closure of  $\omega \setminus B_{\alpha}$  in  $\tau_{\alpha}$ . Let  $D_{\alpha} = int(B_{\alpha}) \cup (\omega \setminus B_{\alpha})$  - which is of course dense. Now we use  $\mathsf{MA}_{\mathsf{ctble}}$  to partition  $D_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{n} D(\alpha, n)$  into dense sets. Also let  $\{b(\alpha, n) : n \in \omega\}$  be the listing of complement of  $D_{\alpha}$ . By assumption,  $b(\alpha, n) \in D(\alpha, n) \setminus B\alpha$  for all n. Now let us define a countable family of disjoint sets, for each n and 0,1  $U(\alpha, n, 0) =$  $D(\alpha, n) \cap \operatorname{int}(B_{\alpha})$  and  $U(\alpha, n, 1) = \{b(\alpha, n)\} \cup D(\alpha, n) \setminus B_{\alpha}$ . Now we add them to our topology  $\tau_{\alpha}$  to get to  $\tau_{\alpha+1}$  and see that  $U(\alpha, n, 1) \cap$  $B_{\alpha} = \{(b_{\alpha})\}$ . So  $b_{\alpha}$  becomes an isolated point of  $B_{\alpha}$ .  $\square$ 

It will be useful to extract the following lemma from the previous proof. However we need a strengthening of it for use with Fréchet spaces. This also necessitates a strengthing of the set-theoretic assumption beyond  $MA_{\rm ctble}$ .

**Lemma 2.23.** If X is a countable crowded space of weight less than  $\mathfrak{p}, \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$  is a family of almost disjoint converging sequences of X,  $|\mathfrak{D}| < \mathfrak{p}$ , and  $S \subset X$  has dense complement and is almost disjoint from each member of  $\mathcal{D}$ , then there is an expansion of the topology obtained by adding countably many (crowded) clopen sets, in which S is a closed nowhere dense set, and each member of  $\mathcal{D}$  is again a converging sequence.

*Proof.* Fix any countable subcollection  $\mathcal{B}$  of clopen subsets of X which separates points (and assume that  $\mathcal{B}$  is closed under the operations of complements and finite unions and intersections). We have the set S which is almost disjoint from each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  and what we want to do is to introduce new clopen sets which will preserve that each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  is converging, and which will ensure that S is closed and discrete. If S is finite there is nothing to do, so let  $S = \{s_i : i \in \omega\}$  (a faithful enumeration). The plan, like in Theorem 2.22, is to produce countably many disjoint dense subsets of X. The difficulty is to ensure that the members of  $\mathcal{D}$  are not split.

Define a poset P by  $p \in P$  if there is an  $n_p \in \omega$  and a finite sequence  $\{A_i^p : i < n_p\}$  such that these sets are pairwise disjoint, and for each  $i < n_p, s_i \in A_i^p$  is a compact subset of  $\{s_i\} \cup X \setminus S$ which satisfies that for some finite set  $F \subset X$ , there is a finite set  $\mathcal{D}' \subset \mathcal{D}$  such that  $A_i^p \setminus F = \bigcup D' \setminus F$ .

We define p < q if  $n_q \leq n_p$  and for each  $i < n_q$ ,  $A_i^q \subset A_i^p$ . We show below that P is  $\sigma$ -centered, from which we deduce that we can find "generic" filters that meet any collection of fewer than  $\mathfrak{p}$ dense sets. In particular, we see easily that for each  $D \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $x \in X$ ,  $\{p \in P : (\exists i, j < n_p)x \in A_j^p \text{ and } |D \setminus A_i^p| < \omega\}$  is dense. Furthermore, for each non-empty open  $U \subset X$  and each  $i \in \omega$ , the set  $\{p \in P : A_i^p \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$  is dense. Given a filter  $G \subset P$  meeting each of these dense sets, we define  $A_i = \bigcup \{A_i^p : p \in G\}$  and observe that  $A_i$  will be dense and meet S at the point  $s_i$ . Furthermore, the family  $\{A_i : i \in \omega\}$  will be a partition of X. It follows easily that the topology we obtain by adding each  $\{A_i, X \setminus A_i\}$  to the base will be as desired. It remains only to show that P is  $\sigma$ -centered. Given any  $p \in P$ , we may choose a finite sequence  $\{B_i^p : i < n_p\}$ of pairwise disjoint members of  $\mathcal{B}$  so that  $A_i^p \subset B_i^p$  for each  $i < n_p$ . If  $p, q \in P$  are such that  $n_p = n_q$  and  $B_i^p = B_i^q$  for each  $i < n_p$ , then it is easy to see that  $r = \{A_i^p \cup A_i^q : i < n_p\}$  is a common extension which is again separated by the same sequence  $\{B_i^p : i < n_p\}$ . Clearly then the poset P is  $\sigma$ -centered.

**Theorem 2.24.** (CH) There exists two countable Fréchet spaces whose product may not even be SS.

Proof. Let us start with  $\omega$  as our base set and a standard countable base  $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$  of clopen sets for a zero-dimensional crowded topology on  $\omega$ . Choose the sequence  $\{E_n : n \in \omega\} \subset \omega^2$  just as we did in Theorem 2.18. Let  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$  denote the two coordinate projections on  $\omega \times \omega$ . For a set  $Y \subset \omega$ , define  $E(Y,0) = \pi_0[(\omega \times Y) \cap \bigcup_n E_n]$ and  $E(Y,1) = \pi_1[(Y \times \omega) \cap \bigcup_n E_n]$ . Fix an enumeration  $\{(x_\alpha, S_\alpha) :$  $\alpha \in \omega_1\}$  for  $\omega \times [\omega]^{\omega}$ . We inductively choose countable bases  $\tau_\beta, \sigma_\beta$ for crowded 0-dimensional topologies on  $\omega$ . We also inductively choose families  $\{Y_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$  and  $\{Z_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$  of converging sequences with respect to the  $\tau_\alpha$  and  $\sigma_\alpha$  topologies, respectively. For convenience we assume that  $\lim(Y_\beta) \in Y_\beta$  and  $\lim(Z_\beta) \in Z_\beta$ for each  $\beta < \alpha$  (the limits are uniquely determined by the  $\tau_0 = \sigma_0$ topology). Let  $\{\langle F_n^\alpha : n \in \omega \rangle : \alpha \in \omega_1\}$  be an enumeration of all selections  $\{F_n \in [E_n]^{<\omega} : n \in \omega\}$ .

Suppose that at stage  $\alpha < \omega_1$  of our induction the following conditions are satisfied for  $\gamma < \beta < \alpha$ :

- (1)  $\tau_{\gamma} \subset \tau_{\beta}$  and  $\sigma_{\gamma} \subset \sigma_{\beta}$  are countable bases on  $\omega$ ,
- (2) for each n,  $E_n$  is dense in the product topology  $\tau_{\beta} \times \sigma_{\beta}$ , and  $\bigcup_n F_n^{\gamma}$  is not dense in the product  $\tau_{\beta} \times \sigma_{\beta}$ ,
- (3)  $Y_{\gamma}$  is a  $\tau_{\beta}$ -converging sequence,  $E(Y_{\gamma}, 1)$  is  $\sigma_{\beta}$  closed discrete, and if  $x_{\gamma}$  is a  $\tau_{\beta}$ -limit of  $S_{\gamma}$ , then for some  $\xi \leq \gamma$ ,  $Y_{\xi} \cap S_{\gamma}$  is infinite and  $\lim(Y_{\gamma}) = x_{\gamma}$ ,
- (4)  $Z_{\gamma}$  is a  $\sigma_{\beta}$ -converging sequence,  $E(Z_{\gamma}, 0)$  is  $\tau_{\beta}$  closed discrete, and if  $x_{\gamma}$  is a  $\sigma_{\beta}$ -limit of  $S_{\gamma}$ , then for some  $\xi \leq \gamma$ ,  $Z_{\gamma} \cap S_{\gamma}$  is infinite and  $\lim(Z_{\gamma}) = x_{\gamma}$ ,
- (5) each of the families  $\{Y_{\xi} : \xi < \beta\}$  and  $\{Z_{\xi} : \xi < \beta\}$  are almost disjoint.

If  $\alpha$  is a limit, then  $\tau_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \tau_{\beta}$ ,  $\sigma_{\alpha} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \sigma_{\beta}$ , and all the inductive conditions are preserved. For the successor stage,

i.e.,  $\alpha = \beta + 1$ , we define  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  as follows. We have the sequence  $\{F_n = F_n^{\beta} : n \in \omega\} \in [E_n]^{<\omega}$ . Our plan is to first choose new clopen sets A to be added to  $\tau_{\alpha}$  and B to be added to  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  with the property that  $A \times B$  is disjoint from each  $F_n$ .

We will define A and B by a countable induction. Let  $\{\xi_k : k \in \omega\}$  be an enumeration of  $\alpha$ . Let  $\{U_j : j \in \omega\}$  enumerate a clopen base for  $\tau_\beta \times \sigma_\beta$ . Finally, let  $\{(i_k, j_k) : k \in \omega\}$  enumerate  $\omega \times \omega$ . For each  $n \in \omega$ , we define  $\tau_0$ -closed sets  $A_n, A_n^-, B_n, B_n^-$ , so that

- (1) for k < n,  $A_k \subset A_n$ ,  $A_k^- \subset A_n^-$ ,  $B_k \subset B_n$ , and  $B_k^- \subset B_n^-$ ,
- (2)  $n \subset A_n \cup A_n^-$  and  $n \subset B_n \cup B_n^-$ ,
- (3)  $A_n \cap A_n^- = \emptyset, \ B_n \cap B_n^- = \emptyset,$
- (4) each of  $A_n$  and  $A_n^-$  is, mod finite, equal to a finite union of members of  $\{Y_{\xi_k} : k \in \omega\}$  and, for each k < n,  $Y_{\xi_k}$  is, mod finite, contained in one of  $A_n, A_n^-$ ,
- (5) each of  $B_n$  and  $B_n^-$  is, mod finite, equal to a finite union of members of  $\{Z_{\xi_k} : k \in \omega\}$  and, for each  $k < n, Z_{\xi_k}$  is, mod finite, contained in one of  $B_n, B_n^-$ ,
- (6)  $A_n \times B_n$  is disjoint from  $\bigcup_{\ell} F_{\ell}$ ,
- (7) each product from  $\{A_n, A_n^-\} \times \{B_n, B_n^-\}$  meets  $E_{i_k} \cap U_{j_k}$  for each k < n.

To start the induction, we can let each of  $A_0, A_0^-, B_0$ , and  $B_0^$ be empty. Assume that  $n \in \omega$  and we have chosen the sets  $A_n$ ,  $A_n^-$ ,  $B_n$ , and  $B_n^-$  satisfying the inductive conditions. Each of the conditions are preserved if we add the singleton n to  $A_n^-$  providing  $n \notin A_n$ , and similarly add n to  $B_n^-$  if  $n \notin B_n$ . With this possible change then we may assume that n+1 is a subset of each of  $A_n \cup A_n^$ and  $B_n \cup B_n^-$ . We begin by considering the last inductive condition. Since  $E(\{\ell\} \cup Y_{\xi}, 1)$  and  $E(\{\ell\} \cup Z_{\xi}, 0)$  are nowhere dense in  $\sigma_{\beta}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$  respectively (for all  $\ell \in \omega$  and  $\xi \in \alpha$ ), it follows that the set

$$((A_n \cup A_n^- \cup E(B_n, 0)) \times \omega) \cup (\omega \times (B_n \cup B_n^- \cup E(A_n, 1)))$$

is a nowhere dense set in the topology  $\tau_{\beta} \times \sigma_{\beta}$ . Since  $E_{i_n} \setminus F_{i_n}$  is dense, we can choose a point  $(a_n^0, b_n^0) \in U_{j_n} \cap E_{i_n} \setminus F_{i_n}$  which is not in that product. Consider any point  $(a_n^0, b)$  for  $b \in B_n$ . Since  $a_n^0 \notin E(B_n, 0)$ , it follows that  $(a_n^0, b) \notin E_{\ell}$  for all  $\ell \in \omega$ . Similarly, for all  $a \in A_n$ ,  $(a, b_n^0) \notin E_{\ell}$  for all  $\ell \in \omega$ . In addition, the family  $\{E_{\ell} : \ell \in \omega\}$  are pairwise disjoint, hence  $(A_n \cup \{a_n^0\}) \times (B_n \cup \{b_n^0\})$ is disjoint from  $F_{\ell}$  for all  $\ell$ . It is routine to recursively repeat this process to similarly choose points  $\{(a_n^i, b_n^i) : i < 4\} \subset E_{i_n} \cap U_{j_n}$ (so that each of  $\{a_n^i : i < 4\}$  and  $\{b_n^i : i < 4\}$  have four elements). It will then follow that  $(A_n \cup \{a_n^0, a_n^1\}) \times (B_n \cup \{b_n^0, b_n^2\})$  will be disjoint from  $\bigcup_{\ell} F_{\ell}$  (and of course that each of  $(A_n \cup \{a_n^0, a_n^1\}) \cap (A_n^- \cup \{a_n^2, a_n^3\})$  and  $(B_n \cup \{b_n^0, b_n^2\}) \cap (B_n^- \cup \{b_n^1, b_n^3\})$  are empty).

We next consider the converging sequence  $Y_{\xi_n}$  with limit  $y_n$ . Since  $E((n \cup B_n \cup B_n^- \cup \{b_n^i : i < 4\}), 0)$  is closed discrete, there is an integer  $m_n$  so that  $Y_{\xi_n} \setminus m_n$  is disjoint from  $E((n \cup B_n \cup A_n))$  $B_n^- \cup \{b_n^i : i < 4\}, 0$ ). If  $y_n \in A_n \cup \{a_n^0, a_n^1\}$ , then we define  $A_{n+1} = A_n \cup \{a_n^0, a_n^1\} \cup (Y_{\xi_n} \setminus m_n) \text{ and } A_{n+1}^- = A_n^- \cup \{a_n^2, a_n^3\}.$ Otherwise,  $y_n \notin A_n \cup \{a_n^0, a_n^1\}$ , and we set  $A_{n+1} = A_n \cup \{a_n^0, a_n^1\}$ and  $A_{n+1}^- = A_n^- \cup \{a_n^2, a_n^3\} \cup \{y_n\} \cup (Y_{\xi_n} \setminus m_n)$ . We have maintained the requirements that  $A_{n+1} \times (B_n \cup \{b_n^0, b_n^2\})$  is disjoint from  $F_\ell$  for all  $\ell$ . We proceed similarly with  $Z_{\xi_n}$  and  $z_n = \lim(Z_{\xi_n})$ . There is an integer  $m'_n$  so that  $Z_{\xi_n} \setminus m'_n$  is disjoint from  $E((n \cup A_{n+1}), 1)$ . If  $z_n \in B_n \cup \{b_n^0, b_n^2\}$ , then we define  $B_{n+1} = B_n \cup \{b_n^0, b_n^2\} \cup (Z_{\xi_n} \setminus m'_n)$ and  $B_{n+1}^- = B_n^- \cup \{b_n^1, b_n^3\}$ . Otherwise,  $z_n \notin B_n \cup \{b_n^0, b_n^2\}$ , and we set  $B_{n+1} = B_n \cup \{b_n^0, b_n^1\} \text{ and } B_{n+1}^- = B_n^- \cup \{b_n^1, b_n^3\} \cup \{z_n\} \cup (Z_{\xi_n} \setminus m'_n).$ We have maintained the requirements that  $A_{n+1} \times B_{n+1}$  is disjoint from  $F_{\ell}$  for all  $\ell$ . It should be clear that  $A_{n+1}$ ,  $B_{n+1}$ ,  $A_{n+1}^{-}$ , and  $B_{n+1}^-$  meet all the inductive requirements. Let  $A = \bigcup_n A_n$  and  $B = \bigcup_n B_n$  (hence  $\omega \setminus A = \bigcup_n A_n^-$  and  $\omega \setminus B = \bigcup_n B_n^-$ ). We generate new topologies from  $\tau_{\beta} \cup \{A, \omega \setminus A\}$  and  $\sigma_{\beta} \cup \{B, \omega \setminus B\}$ which we will temporarily denote by  $\tau'_{\alpha}$  and  $\sigma'_{\alpha}$ . Of course we have ensured that  $A \times B$  is disjoint from  $\bigcup_{\ell} F_{\ell}$  and we have preserved that each  $E_{\ell}$  is dense in  $\tau'_{\alpha} \times \sigma'_{\alpha}$ .

Now we define  $Y_{\beta}$  and take care to ensure that  $E(Y_{\beta}, 1)$  is closed discrete in  $\sigma_{\alpha}$ . Before starting, we select countably many  $\sigma'_{\alpha}$  converging sequences to temporarily add to the collection  $\{Z_{\xi} : \xi < \beta\}$ so that for each  $\ell \in \omega$  and each  $(n, m) \in \omega \times \omega$ , there is a sequence,  $T(\ell, n, m)$ , in this collection, and a function from  $T(\ell, n, m)$  into  $E_{\ell}$ so that the range converges to (n, m). Now choose  $Y_{\beta}$  so as to be almost disjoint from each member of  $\{Y_{\xi} : \xi \in \beta\}$ , and to be a sequence which  $\tau'_{\alpha}$ -converges to  $x_{\beta}$  and, if possible, is contained in  $S_{\beta}$ . By a simple inductive thinning out process of  $Y_{\beta}$ , we can additionally ensure that  $T(\ell, n, m) \setminus E(Y_{\beta}, 1)$  is infinite for each  $\ell, n, m \in \omega$ (which uses the fact that  $E(\{y\}, 1)$  is finite (even a singleton) for each  $y \in \omega$ ). Now we apply Lemma 2.23 to expand the countable

base  $\sigma'_{\alpha}$  to a countable base  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  so as to ensure  $E(Y_{\beta}, 1)$  is closed discrete and while preserving that each member of the collection  $\{Z_{\xi} : \xi \in \beta\} \cup \{T(\ell, n, m) \setminus E(Y_{\beta}, 1) : \ell, n, m \in \omega\}$  remains converging. The existence of the converging sequences  $T(\ell, n, m)$  and the fact that  $\tau'_{\alpha}$  is not changing, ensures that each  $E_{\ell}$  is dense in  $\tau'_{\alpha} \times \sigma_{\alpha}$ . Next, working with the topologies  $\tau'_{\alpha}$  and  $\sigma_{\alpha}$ , we repeat the process to suitably choose a  $\sigma_{\alpha}$  converging  $Z_{\alpha}$  (satisfying condition 4) so that by expanding  $\tau'_{\alpha}$  to a countable base  $\tau_{\alpha}, E(Z_{\alpha}, 0)$ is closed discrete. This completes the inductive construction.  $\Box$ 

It is established in [4] that it is independent of the usual axioms that  $2^{\omega_1}$  has a dense non-selectively separable subspace. On the other hand, the following result answers a natural question posed in [4]. One should recall, as noted above, that one cannot conclude that the projection of an SS subspace of  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  will remain SS.

#### **Theorem 2.25.** $2^{\omega_1}$ does have a dense SS subspace.

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{b} > \omega_1$  then every countable subset of  $2^{\omega_1}$  is selectively separable. Otherwise, let  $Y = \{f_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathfrak{b}\} \subset \omega^\omega$  be  $<^*$ -unbounded family of increasing functions. Let  $Q = \{q \in (\omega + 1)^\omega : q \text{ is monotone and is eventually equal to } \omega\}$ .

Now we make use the following result from [2].

#### **Proposition 2.26.** $X = Q \cup Y$ has all the finite powers Menger.

Again for the reader's convenience, we include the proof. Let us define basic open sets in the product space  $(\omega + 1)^{\omega}$ :

$$[s:n] = \{f \in X : s \subset f \text{ and } f(|s|) > n\} \subset (\omega+1)^{\omega}$$

where  $s \in \omega^{<\omega}$  and  $n \in \omega$ .

We prove by induction on m that  $X^m$  is Menger. Given a sequence  $\langle U_n \rangle_n$  of open covers of  $X^m$  by basic open sets, we may assume that each basic open subset of a member of  $U_n$  is also in  $U_n$ . Now let us define  $g(\ell)$  by recursion on  $\ell$ . Given  $l = mk^3$  and i such that  $l + i < m(k + 1)^3$ , so that g(l + i)(i < mk) has been defined, set n = g(l + i + 1) large enough so that for each sequence  $\{s_j : j < m\} \subset (g(l + i)^{<k})$  the set  $[s_0 : n] \times [s_1 : n] \times \ldots \times [s_{m-1} :$  $n] \in U_k$  (and is added to  $W_k$ ). Such a value for n exists, since we are just asking for a member of  $U_k$  which contains the point  $\langle x_j : j < m \rangle$  where for  $j < m, x_j$  is the unique member of Q extending  $s_j$  such that  $x_j(|s_j|) = \omega$ . Let  $\overline{g}(k) = g(m(k+1)^3)$  for each k. Let us assume that  $f_{\alpha_0} \not\leq^* \bar{g}$  and let  $\alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \ldots \leq \alpha_{m-1} < \mathfrak{b}$ . Now fix any k so that  $g(mk^3) < \bar{g}(k) < f_{\alpha_0}(k) \leq \ldots \leq f_{\alpha_{m-1}}(k)$ . For each i < mk and j < m, there is a minimal  $s_j^i \subset f_{\alpha_j} \upharpoonright k$  such that  $f_{\alpha_j}(|s_j^i|) \geq n_i = (mk^3 + i)$ . It follows that  $\{s_j^i : j < m\} \subset (g(mk^3 + i))^{\leq k}$  and that  $[s_0^i : n_{i+1}] \times [s_1^i : n_{i+1}] \times \ldots \times [s_{m-1}^i : n_{i+1}] \in W_k$ . Given such an i, if  $\langle f_{\alpha_j} \rangle_{j < m}$  is not in  $[s_0^i : n_{i+1}] \times [s_1^i : n_{i+1}] \times \ldots \times [s_{m-1}^i : n_{i+1}] \times \ldots \times [s_{m-1}^i : n_{i+1}]$ , then for some j < m, the domain of  $s_j^{i+1}$  is strictly bigger than the domain of  $s_j^i$ . As this can only happen at most mk times, there is an i < mk such that  $\langle f_{\alpha_j} \rangle_{j < m}$  is in  $[s_0^i : n_{i+1}] \times [s_1^i : n_{i+1}] \times \ldots \times [s_{m-1}^i : n_{i+1}] \in W_k$ . The same argument shows that any rearrangement of the order of the elements in  $\langle f_{\alpha_j} \rangle \in X^m$  will be covered by a member this choice for  $W_k$ .

If follows therefore that we are able to choose the sequence  $\langle W_n \rangle_n$  to be a cover of  $(X \setminus \{f_\beta : \beta < \alpha_0\})^m$ . It is rather immediate that a Lindelof space which is the union of fewer than  $\mathfrak{b}$  many Menger subspaces is again Menger. Therefore if follows by induction on m, that the complement in  $X^m$  of  $(X \setminus \{f_\beta : \beta < \alpha_0\})^m$  is Menger. This completes the proof that  $X^m$  is Menger.

Thus  $C_p(X,2)$  is a selectively separable subspace of  $2^{\mathfrak{b}}$ .

#### 

# 3. Results on $SS^+$

Let us introduce an interesting game with the essence of selective separablity.

- **Definition 3.1.** (1) A space has the property  $SS^+$ , if player II has the winning strategy for the obvious game: player I picks a dense set  $D_n$ ; player II picks a finite  $E_n \subset D_n$ . Player II wins if  $\bigcup_n E_n$  is dense.
  - (2) A space X has strategic countable fan-tightness at a point  $x \in X$  if player II has a winning strategy for the following game: player I picks a set  $A_n$  such that  $x \in \overline{A_n}$ ; player II picks a finite  $E_n \subset A_n$ . Player II wins if x is in the closure of  $\bigcup_n E_n$ .

Clearly each  $SS^+$  space is separable and SS.

**Lemma 3.2.** Any crowded  $SS^+$  space has an uncountable almost disjoint family of dense subsets; thus no maximal space is  $SS^+$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the collection of all dense subsets of a space which is SS<sup>+</sup> and assume, for convenience, that  $\omega$  is a dense subset. Fix a winning stragegy  $\sigma$  for Player II; i.e.,  $\sigma$  is a function with domain  $\bigcup_n \mathcal{D}^n$ , and for  $\vec{D} \in dom(\sigma)$  and  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\sigma(\vec{D}, D) \in [D]^{<\omega}$ . Since we are assuming that the space is crowded, it follows that  $D_k = \omega \setminus k$ is dense for any  $k \in \omega$ . Let  $J_0 = 0$  and, recursively define for  $n \in \omega$ ,

$$J_{n+1} = 1 + \max \bigcup \{ \sigma(\langle D_{k_i} \rangle_{i < m}) : k_0 < \dots < k_m, \text{ for } m, k_m < J_n \} .$$

Notice that if I is any infinite subset of  $\omega$ , then  $D_I = \bigcup_{n \in I} [J_n, J_{n+1})$  contains the union of the responses of  $\sigma$  for the run of the game given by the dense sets  $\{D_{J_n} : n \in I\}$ . Therefore  $D_I$  is dense.  $\Box$ 

We record the following observation.

# **Proposition 3.3.** Each space with countable $\pi$ -weight is $SS^+$ .

The following theorem shows an important result about SS and  $SS^+$ .

# **Theorem 3.4.** SS does not imply $SS^+$ .

Proof. We consider the space  $X = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{f_{\alpha} : \alpha < \mathfrak{b}\} \subset (\omega+1)^{\omega}$  from Proposition 2.26. Let  $S = \{f \mid_X : f \in C_P((\omega+1)^{\omega}, 2)\}$  which is SS. We claim that S is not SS<sup>+</sup>. To prove this, let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the collection of all dense subsets of X. Assume that  $\sigma$  is a strategy for Player II, i.e., for each sequence  $\{D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}, \sigma(D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_n) \in$  $[D_n]^{<\omega}$ . Let us fix a sequence  $\vec{D} = \{D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{n-1}\} \subset \mathcal{D}$  and set  $K_{\vec{D}} = \bigcap_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \left(\bigcup_{d \in \sigma(\vec{D}, D)} \overline{d^{-1}(1)}\right)$  where the closure is taken in  $(\omega+1)^{\omega}$ .

For each  $y \in (\omega + 1)^{\omega} \setminus X$  the set  $D_y = \{d \in S : y \notin \overline{d^{-1}(1)}\}$  is dense in S. To see this let us fix any finite partial function s from Xinto 2 which defines a basic clopen set  $[s] = \{d \in S : s \subset d\}$ . Since  $C_p((\omega + 1)^{\omega}, 2)$  is dense in  $2^{(\omega+1)^{\omega}}$ , there is an  $f \in C_p((\omega + 1)^{\omega}, 2)$ such that  $s \subset f$  and f(y) = 0. It follows that  $d = f \mid_X$  is in  $D_y$ , hence  $[s] \cap D_y$  is non-empty. The set  $K_{\vec{D}}$  is a compact subset of X, hence it is countable.

Now let us fix a countable  $M \prec H_{\theta}$  for  $\theta = 2^{\mathfrak{c}^+}$  such that  $\sigma, X$ , and  $\mathcal{D}$  are in M. Let  $x \in X \setminus M$ . If  $\vec{D} \in M \cap [\mathcal{D}]^{<\omega}$  then, since it is countable,  $K_D \subset X \cap M$ . Therefore there is some  $D' \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $x \notin \bigcup_{d \in \sigma(\vec{D}, D')} d^{-1}(1)$ . Since  $\sigma(\vec{D}, D')$  is simply a finite subset of D, there is some  $D^* \in \mathcal{D} \cap M$  so that  $\sigma(\vec{D}, D^*) = \sigma(\vec{D}, D')$ . From this it follows that we may inductively choose a play of the game  $\{D_0, D_1, ...\} \subset \mathcal{D} \cap M$  such that for all  $\vec{D}_n = \{D_0, D_1, ..., D_n\}, x \notin \bigcup_{d \in \sigma(\vec{D}_n)} d^{-1}(1)$ . Now we have ensured that if  $d \in E_n = \sigma(\vec{D}_n)$  for any n, then d(x) = 0. Then  $\bigcup_n E_n$  is not dense in S, since it misses the clopen set  $\{d \in S : d(x) = 1\}$ . Therefore  $\sigma$  is not a winning strategy, which shows that S is not SS<sup>+</sup>.  $\Box$ 

It is natural to consider which dense subsets of  $2^{\omega_1}$  are SS<sup>+</sup> and which are not. The following result provides an interesting insight from MA<sub>ctble</sub>.

**Theorem 3.5.** (MA<sub>ctble</sub>) If  $\omega < \kappa < \mathfrak{c}$  and D is a countable dense subset of  $2^{\kappa}$ , then D is not SS<sup>+</sup>.

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the Boolean algebra generated by  $\{d^{-1}(0) : d \in D\} \subseteq P(\kappa)$ . Now since D is dense,  $\mathcal{B}$  separates points. Let us identify  $\kappa$  as (the fixed ultrafilters)  $X \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$  where  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$  is the Stone space generated by  $\mathcal{B}$ . With this identification, we can view D as a countable dense subset of  $C_p(X,2)$ ; but we may also view members of D as continuous functions on all of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$  because they do have uniquely defined continuous extensions. For all  $y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B})$  we ask if  $D_y = \{d : y \in \overline{d^{-1}(0)}\}$  is dense in D or not. If not then there is finite partial function  $\tau_y : X \to 2$  so that the corresponding basic open set  $[\tau_y] \cap C_p(X,2)$  is disjoint from  $D_y$ , i.e.,  $d \supset \tau_y$  implies d(y) = 1. Now given a finite partial function  $\tau : X \to 2$ , let  $Y_{\tau} = \{y : \tau = \tau_y\}$ .

Let us assume that for some compact crowded set  $K \subset S(\mathcal{B})$ , we have that  $X \cap \overline{Y_{\tau} \cap K}$  is infinite. If so then  $\exists x \in \overline{Y_{\tau} \cap K}, x \notin dom(\tau)$ , so we can find  $d \supset \tau$  such that  $d \in D$  and  $d^{-1}(0)$  is a neighbourhood of x, i.e., d(x) = 0, which implies that  $d^{-1}(0) \cap Y_{\tau}$ is non-empty. Let  $y \in d^{-1}(0) \cap Y_{\tau}$ . Then  $d \supset \tau = \tau_y$  implies d(y) = 0 and d(y) = 1 - a contradiction. It follows then that for each  $\tau, \overline{Y_{\tau}} \cap X$  is countable.

Since there are only  $\kappa$  many such functions  $\tau$ , we can conclude from  $\mathsf{MA}_{\mathsf{ctble}}$  that there exists uncountably many  $y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{B}) \setminus X$  such that  $y \notin Y_{\tau}$  for all  $\tau$ . For each such y we note that the corresponding set  $D_y$  is dense.

ON SS AND SS+

Now let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the collection of all dense subsets of  $C_p(X, 2)$  and assume that  $\sigma$  is a strategy for Player II, i.e., for each sequence  $\langle D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_n \rangle \subset \mathcal{D}, \ \sigma(\langle D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_n \rangle) \in [D_n]^{<\omega}$ . Consider a sequence  $\vec{D} = \langle D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_{n-1} \rangle \subset \mathcal{D}$  and set,

$$K_{\vec{D}} = \bigcap_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \left( \bigcup_{d \in \sigma(\vec{D}, D)} \overline{d^{-1}(0)} \right) \; .$$

We showed above that  $K_{\vec{D}} \cap X$  is countable. Now let us again fix a countable  $M \prec H_{\theta}$  where  $\theta = 2^{\mathfrak{c}^+}$  where  $\sigma, X, \kappa$ , and Dare in M. Let  $x \in X \setminus M$ . Since it is countable, if  $\vec{D} \in M$ , then  $(X \cap K_D) \subset X \cap M$ . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.4, there is a play of the game  $\{D_0, D_1, \ldots\} \subset \mathcal{D} \cap M$  such that for all  $\vec{D_n} = \{D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_n\}, x \notin \bigcup_{d \in \sigma(\vec{D_n})} d^{-1}(0)$ . If  $d \in E_n = \sigma(\vec{D_n})$ for any n, then d(x) = 1. Then  $\bigcup_n E_n$  is not dense in S, since it misses the clopen set  $\{d \in D : d(x) = 0\}$ . Therefore  $\sigma$  is not a winning strategy, which shows that S is not SS<sup>+</sup>.

We noted in the previous section that if X has countable dense fan tightness, then  $C_p(X)$  is SS. Here is a similar result for SS<sup>+</sup>.

**Theorem 3.6.** If X is  $\sigma$ -compact and metrizable, then  $C_p(X)$  has strategic countable fan-tightness at each point.

Proof. Let us assume that  $X = \bigcup_{k \in \omega} X_k$ , where each  $X_k$  is compact. We describe a strategy for player II in showing that  $C_p(X)$  has strategic countable fan-tightness at  $\underline{0}$ , the constant 0 function. Given an integer k and a set  $D_k \subset C_p(X)$  which has  $\underline{0}$  function as a  $C_p(X)$ -limit. Let  $U_k = \{(d^{-1}(-\frac{1}{k},\frac{1}{k}))^k : d \in D_k\}$ . Let  $H \in (X_k)^k$ . Since  $\underline{0}$  is a limit of  $D_n$ , there exists a  $d \in D_n$  such that  $d(H) \subset (-\frac{1}{k},\frac{1}{k})$ . Therefore it follows that  $U_k$  contains an open cover of  $(X_k)^k$ . Since  $(X_k)^k$  is compact, player II can select  $E_k \in [D_k]^{<\omega}$  so that the finite subcollection  $W_k$ , of  $U_k$  we get from  $E_k$  yields a cover of  $(X_k)^k$ .

At the end of the game, to show that player II's strategy is winning we must show that  $\underline{0}$  is a limit of  $\bigcup_k E_k$ . Let us fix any  $k, \{x_i : i < k\} \subset X$  and  $n \ge k$ . Now we need an  $e \in \bigcup_n E_n$  such that  $e(x_i) \in (-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n})$  for i < k. We are free to make n larger and to add elements to  $\{x_i : i < k\}$ , so we may assume that k = n and that  $\{x_i : i < k\} \subset X_n$ . Therefore it follows that there is an e as required in  $E_n$ .

It is routine to generalize Lemma 2.7 to obtain the following.

**Corollary 3.7.** If X is  $\sigma$ -compact and metrizable, then  $C_p(X)$  is  $SS^+$ .

Our next example shows that it is consistent that Fréchet does not imply SS<sup>+</sup>.

**Example 3.8.** Let  $X \subset 2^{\omega}$  be such that  $\omega_1 \leq |X| < \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $D = C_p(2^{\omega}, 2)$  Then  $D' = D \upharpoonright X \subset C_p(X)$  and  $wt(D') < |X| < \mathfrak{p} < \mathfrak{d}$  which says it is SS and with the similar argument in Theorem 3.4 shows that it is not SS<sup>+</sup>, whereas the space is Fréchet since it has countable tightness (indeed it is countable) and character less than  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

We end this section by observing that, in contrast to Theorem 3.5, the space  $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$  contains countable dense SS<sup>+</sup> subspace, namely  $C_p(2^{\omega}, 2)$ .

# 4. Forcing and Selective Separability

We have seen that if  $S \subset 2^{\kappa}$ , and we force  $\kappa < \mathfrak{d}$ , then S becomes SS. Also if S is a countable dense in  $C_p(X, 2)$ , where  $X = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{f_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \mathfrak{b}\}$ , then S is SS. Hence any forcing which preserves the value of  $\mathfrak{b}$  (more precisely preserving that the unbounded families of functions remain unbounded) will preserve that S is SS.

Here we can ask a question: Can we force to destroy selective separability? The answer to this question is an immediate consequence of the following result of A. Miller.

**Theorem 4.1.** [Miller] If  $\mathbf{x}$  is Sacks generic over  $\mathbf{V}$ , then in  $\mathbf{V}[\mathbf{x}]$  the set  $\mathbf{V} \cap 2^{\omega}$  does not have the Menger Property.

*Proof.* Let us define  $Q = \{T \subseteq 2^{<\omega} \text{ infinite } : \forall \sigma, \tau(\sigma \subset \tau \in T \rightarrow \tau \in T)\}$ . Note that Q is a closed subspace of  $P(2^{<\omega})$  (when identified as a subspace of  $2^{2^{<\omega}}$ ) and is homeomorphic to  $2^{\omega}$ .

Given the Sacks real  $\mathbf{x} \in 2^{\omega}$  and  $n \in \omega$ , we define in  $\mathbf{V}[\mathbf{x}]$  an open cover of  $Q \cap \mathbf{V}$  by  $U(n,m) = \{T \in Q : \mathbf{x} | m \notin T \text{ or } | \{\ell < m : \{(\mathbf{x} \upharpoonright \ell)^{\frown} 0, (\mathbf{x} \upharpoonright \ell)^{\frown} 1\} \subset T | \geq n+2\}$ .

A Sacks real has the property that it is not a member of any ground model closed set which does not contain a perfect set. This implies that for each  $T \in Q \cap \mathbf{V}$  such that  $\mathbf{x} | m \in T$  for all m, then the set  $\{\ell : \{(\mathbf{x} | \ell) \cap 0, (\mathbf{x} | \ell) \cap 1\} \subset T\}$  is infinite. Therefore, for each n, the family  $\{U(n,m) : m \in \omega\}$  is an increasing open cover of  $Q \cap \mathbf{V}$ .

It is well-known that the family  $\mathbf{V} \cap \omega^{\omega}$  is dominating in  $\mathbf{V}[\mathbf{x}]$  (see [9]). Therefore to show that  $Q \cap \mathbf{V}$  is not SS in  $\mathbf{V}[\mathbf{x}]$ , we consider a strictly increasing function  $q \in \omega^{\omega}$  from V and show there is a  $T \in Q$  such that  $T \notin U(n, q(n))$  for all n. To prove this it is enough to know that if, working in  $\mathbf{V}, \mathcal{C}$  is a collection of compact perfect subsets of  $2^{\omega}$  with the property that each perfect set contains one, then there is some  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{C}$ . Set  $\mathcal{C}$  to be the collection of all perfect subsets C of  $2^{\omega}$  with the property that if  $x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1$  are distinct members of C, and  $\ell < m$  are minimal such that  $x_0(\ell) \neq x_1(\ell)$  and  $y_0(m) \neq y_1(m)$ , then there is an n such that  $\ell \leq g(n) < g(n+1) < m$ . Given such a perfect set  $C, T_C = \{t \in I\}$  $2^{<\omega}$ :  $(\exists y \in C)t \subset y$  will be a member of Q, and the Sacks real **x** will be in  $\overline{C}$  precisely if for all  $m, \mathbf{x} \upharpoonright m \in T_C$ . It is routine to see that each perfect set K contains a perfect set in  $\mathcal{C}$ , hence there is some such C such that  $\mathbf{x} \mid m \in T_C$  for all m. The definition of C ensures that for each n,  $\{\ell \leq g(n) : \{(\mathbf{x} \upharpoonright \ell) \cap 0, (\mathbf{x} \upharpoonright \ell) \cap 1\} \subset T_C\}$  will have cardinality less than n+2.

This of course completes the proof that  $Q \cap \mathbf{V}$  fails to have Menger property in  $\mathbf{V}[\mathbf{x}]$ .

From this result, we observe the interesting fact that there is an SS<sup>+</sup> space, namely,  $S = (C_p(2^{\omega}, 2), \tau^{\mathbf{V}})$ , for which the SS property is also destroyed by adding a Sacks real.

# 5. Open Problems

We have shown with the help of  $MA_{ctble}$  or CH that, the product of two SS spaces, even two Fréchet spaces might not be SS. So the very natural question which was asked in [4], is,

**Problem 5.1** Is it true in ZFC that product of two SS spaces is not SS?

Also what happens when we consider  $SS^+$ ? That is,

**Problem 5.2** Suppose X and Y are two SS<sup>+</sup> spaces. Must  $X \times Y$  or  $X \cup Y$  be SS<sup>+</sup>?

**Problem 5.3** What happens with the product if X is SS and Y is  $SS^+$ ?

We know that  $C_p(\omega^{\omega})$  has a dense SS subspace, we repeat the question from [4]:

**Problem 5.4** If X is separable metric space, then must  $C_p(X)$  have a dense SS subspace?

And also we know that if  $\kappa < \mathfrak{d}$ , then  $2^{\kappa}$  has a dense SS subspace, so what happens in general?

**Problem 5.5** Is there a  $\kappa < \mathfrak{c}$  such that  $2^{\kappa}$  fails to have a dense SS subspace?

**Problem 5.6** Is there a ZFC example of a countable space which is Fréchet but not SS<sup>+</sup>?

**Problem 5.7** Do any of  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$ , Martin's Axiom, or ZFC suffice to produce two countable Fréchet spaces whose product is not SS? REFERENCES

- A. V. Arhangel'skii, The structure and classification of topological spaces and cardinal invariants, (Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 33 (1978), no. 6(204), 29–84.
- [2] T. Bartoszyński and B. Tsaban, Hereditary topological diagonalizations and the Menger-Hurewicz Conjectures, Proceedings of American Mathematical Society 134 (2006), 605–615, MR 2176030 (2006f:54038)
- [3] Murray G. Bell, On the combinatorial principle P(c), Fund. Math. 114 (1981), no. 2, 149–157. MR 643555 (83e:03077)
- [4] Angelo Bella, Maddalena Bonanzinga, Mikhail V. Matveev and Vladimir V. Tkachuk, Selective Separability: General facts and behaviour in countable spaces, Topology Proc. 32 (2008), 15–30.
- [5] Eric K. van Douwen, Applications of maximal topologies, Topology Appl. 51 (1993), no. 2, 125–139. MR 1229708 (94h:54012)
- [6] Rvszard Frankiewicz, Saharon Shelah, and Paweł Zbierski, On closed Psets with ccc in the space ω<sup>\*</sup>, J. Symbolic Logic 58 (1993), no. 4, 1171–1176. MR 1253914 (95c:03125)
- [7] Gary Gruenhage, A note on Selectively Separable Spaces, August 2008, personal communication.
- [8] Marion Scheepers, Combinatories of open covers. VI. Selectors for sequences of dense sets, Quaest. Math. 22 (1990), no. 1, 109–130
- [9] Saharon Shelah, Proper and improper forcing. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1998.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNC-CHARLOTTE, 9201 UNIVERSITY CITY BLVD., CHARLOTTE, NC 28223-0001

*E-mail address*: dbarman@uncc.edu *E-mail address*: adow@uncc.edu